arXiv:1005.1472v3 [math.DG] 1 Jun 2011

HOLOMORPHIC CARTAN GEOMETRIES AND RATIONAL CURVES

INDRANIL BISWAS AND BENJAMIN MCKAY

Abstract. We prove that any compact Kähler manifold bearing a holomorphic Cartan geometry contains a rational curve just when the Cartan geometry is inherited from a holomorphic Cartan geometry on a lower dimensional compact Kähler manifold.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Definition of Cartan geometries 2
1.2. Freedom of rational curves 3
1.3. Compactness criteria for rational curves 3
1.4. The main theorem 4
1.5. Applications to locally homogeneous geometric structures 5
1.6. Applications to Cartan's theory of 2-plane fields on 5-manifolds 6
1.7. Applications to surface geometry 7
1.8. Applications to conformal geometry 8
1.9. Applications to classification of geometric structures on Fano manifolds 8
1.10. Application to MRC fibrations 9
1.11. Applications to automorphism groups of geometric structures 9
1.12. Applications to projective connections 9
1.13. Applications to the geometry of ordinary differential equations 10
1.14. Applications to cominiscule geometries 10
1.15. Applications to parabolic geometries 12
1.16. Applications to parabolic twistor theory 12
2. Curvature 12
3. Development of curves 13
4. The local consequences of rational curves 15
5. Rational trees and families of rational trees 16
6. Development of families of rational trees in a Cartan geometry 18
7. Deformation of stable rational trees and compactness 22
8. Development and compactness 23
9. Developing the blade 24
10. The new structure group 25
11. The structure group and the blade 27
12. The new structure group as a complex subgroup 29
13. Quotienting by the new structure group 30

Date: June 2, 2011.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C55 (Primary) 53C51, 53C56, 53A55 (Secondary).

14. Tame rational curves and fiber bundles 33
15. Proof of the main theorem 34
16. Open problems 34
References 35

1. Introduction

All manifolds will be connected. Unless specified otherwise, all manifolds and maps henceforth are assumed to be complex analytic, and all Lie groups, algebras, etc. are complex. We will prove that any compact Kähler manifold bearing a holomorphic Cartan geometry contains a rational curve just when the Cartan geometry is inherited from a holomorphic Cartan geometry on a lower dimensional compact Kähler manifold. First we will define the notion of Cartan geometry and the appropriate notion of inheritance.

1.1. Definition of Cartan geometries.

Throughout we use the convention that structure groups of principal bundles act on the right.

Definition 1. If $E \to M$ is a principal right G-bundle, denote the G-action as $r_g e = eg$, where $e \in E$ and $g \in G$.

Definition 2. Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup of a Lie group, with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. A G/H-geometry, or *Cartan geometry* modelled on G/H, on a manifold M is a choice of C^∞ principal H-bundle $E \to M$, and smooth 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(E) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ called the *Cartan connection*, so that:

1. $r_h^* \omega = \operatorname{Ad}_h^{-1} \omega$ for all $h \in H$.
2. $\omega_e : T_e E \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a linear isomorphism at each point $e \in E$.
3. For each $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, define a vector field $\vec{A}$ on E by the equation $\vec{A} \lrcorner \omega = A$. The vector fields $\vec{A}$ for $A \in \mathfrak{h}$ generate the H-action on E_H.

Example 1. The principal H-bundle $G \to G/H$ is a Cartan geometry (called the *model Cartan geometry*). The Cartan connection is $\omega = g^{-1} \, dg$, the left invariant Maurer–Cartan 1-form on G.

Sharpe [64] gives an introduction to Cartan geometries.

Definition 3. A C^∞ *isomorphism* of G/H-geometries $E_0 \to M_0$ and $E_1 \to M_1$ with Cartan connections ω_0 and ω_1 is an H-equivariant diffeomorphism $F : E_0 \to E_1$ so that $F^* \omega_1 = \omega_0$.

Definition 4. Suppose that $H \subset H' \subset G$ are two closed subgroups, and $E \to M'$ is a G/H'-geometry. Let $M = E/H$. Clearly $E \to M$ is a principal H-bundle. Equip E with the Cartan connection of the original G/H'-geometry, and then clearly $E \to M$ is a G/H-geometry. Moreover $M \to M'$ is a fiber bundle with fiber H'/H. The geometry $E \to M$ is called the *G/H-lift* of $E \to M'$ (or simply the *lift*). Conversely, we will say that a given G/H-geometry *drops* to a certain G/H'-geometry if it is isomorphic to the lift.

A Cartan geometry which drops can be completely recovered (up to isomorphism) from anything it drops to: dropping encapsulates the same geometry in a lower dimensional reformulation.

1.2. **Freedom of rational curves.**

Definition 5. A *rational curve* in a complex manifold M is a nonconstant holomorphic map $\mathbb{P}^1 \to M$. A rational curve $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to M$ is *free* if f^*TM is spanned by its global sections.

In Section 3 on page 13 we will prove:

Theorem 1. *In a complex manifold M with holomorphic Cartan geometry, every rational curve is free.*

Corollary 1. *If a complex manifold blows down, then it admits no holomorphic Cartan geometry.*

Example 2. Every cubic surface is the projective plane blown up at 6 points. The exceptional divisors of those 6 points are not free. Therefore no cubic surface has any holomorphic Cartan geometry.

1.3. **Compactness criteria for rational curves.**

Definition 6. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold and that $f : C \to M$ is a compact complex curve (i.e., a nonconstant holomorphic map from a compact connected Riemann surface). It is well known that the following are equivalent:

1. There is a Hermitian metric on M and a bound $A > 0$ so that all deformations of f have area at most A in that metric.
2. For any Hermitian metric on M there is a bound $A > 0$, so that all deformations of f have area at most A in that metric.
3. Let X be the moduli space of stable maps; see [3, 55, 59]. Let $[f] \in X$ be the point corresponding to f. Then the path component of $[f]$ in X is compact; see [34] for proof.
4. Let Z be the cycle space of M and $[f] \in Z$ the point corresponding to f. Then the path component of $[f]$ in Z is compact; see [5, 43] p. 810.

A compact complex curve which satisfies any, hence all, of these is *tame*. A complex manifold is *(rationally) tame* if every (rational) curve in it is tame.

Definition 7. Recall that $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ and

$$d^c = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\partial - \bar{\partial}\right).$$

Remark 1. Every compact Kähler manifold is tame. More generally, if M is a compact complex manifold and either

1. M is bimeromorphic to a compact Kähler manifold (see Kollar [53, p. 75], Campana [14, 12], Fujiki [27, 28, 29]) or
2. M carries a $(1,1)$ form $\Omega > 0$ so that $dd^c\Omega \leq 0$ (see Ivashkovich [43, p. 810, proposition 1.9])

then M is tame. For example, every compact complex surface is tame [43, p. 811].

Remark 2. Suppose that $M \to M'$ is a holomorphic fiber bundle with complete rational homogeneous fibers. Suppose that M is a compact Kähler manifold. Then M' is a compact Kähler manifold by pushing down a suitable power of the Kähler form on M.

Suppose that $M \to M'$ is a holomorphic fiber bundle with complete rational homogeneous fibers. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold bimeromorphic to a compact Kähler manifold. Then M' is a compact complex manifold bimeromorphic to a compact Kähler manifold; Campana [14, 12].

1.4. **The main theorem.**

Definition 8. From now on, all Cartan geometries in this paper will be assumed holomorphic, i.e., G is a complex Lie group, $H \subset G$ is a closed complex subgroup, $E \to M$ is a holomorphic principal H-bundle on a complex manifold M, and the Cartan connection ω is a holomorphic 1-form. Isomorphisms will therefore be biholomorphic.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that*

1. G/H *is a complex homogeneous space,*
2. M *is a connected compact complex manifold,*
3. M *contains a tame rational curve* C *and*
4. M *bears a holomorphic* G/H*-geometry.*

Then the geometry drops to a G/H'*-geometry on a connected compact complex manifold* M'*, so that*

1. $H' \subset G$ *is a closed complex subgroup,*
2. H'/H *is a connected complete rational homogeneous variety,*
3. $M \to M'$ *is a holomorphic* H/H'*-bundle and*
4. C *lies in a fiber of* $M \to M'$*.*

Theorem 3. *Suppose that*

1. G/H *is a complex homogeneous space,*
2. M *is a connected compact rationally tame complex manifold and*
3. M *bears a holomorphic* G/H*-geometry.*

Then the geometry drops to a unique G/H'*-geometry on a connected compact complex manifold* M'*, so that*

1. $H' \subset G$ *is a closed complex subgroup,*
2. H'/H *is a connected complete rational homogeneous variety,*
3. $M \to M'$ *is a holomorphic* H/H'*-bundle, and*
4. *the manifold* M' *contains no rational curves.*

Any other drop $M \to M''$ *for which* M'' *contains no rational curves factors uniquely through holomorphic drops* $M \to M' \to M''$*.*

1.4.1. *Applications to certain homogeneous spaces.*

Definition 9. Suppose that G/H is a homogeneous space. Call a complex Lie group H' a *candidate* if

1. $H \subsetneq H' \subsetneq G$,
2. H' is a closed complex subgroup of G, and
3. H'/H is a connected complete rational homogeneous variety.

Definition 10. If $H \subset G$ is a closed complex subgroup of a complex Lie group, say that G/H is *rationally primitive* if there is no candidate H' for G/H. For example, if $H \subset G$ is maximal, then G/H is rationally primitive. Similarly, if G/H is an affine variety, then G/H is rationally primitive.

Corollary 2. *Suppose that*

1. G/H *is a rationally primitive homogeneous space and*

(2) *M is a connected compact complex manifold and*
(3) *M contains a tame rational curve and*
(4) *M bears a G/H-geometry.*

Then $M = G/H$ and the geometry is the model geometry. Moreover $H \subset G$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup and G/H is a complete rational homogeneous variety.

Proof. By Theorem 3 on the preceding page, the geometry must drop to a G/H'-geometry, for $H' = G$ or for a candidate H' strictly containing H. Since there is no candidate, the geometry must drop to a G/G-geometry, meaning to a point. The drop must be isomorphic to G/G, and therefore its lift must also be isomorphic to the lift of G/G, i.e., to G/H. The bundle mapping $G/H \to G/G$ must be a bundle of rational homogeneous varieties, so $H \subset G$ must be a parabolic subgroup. If H is not maximal, say $H \subset H' \subset G$, then H' is parabolic, so a candidate subgroup. □

1.5. **Applications to locally homogeneous geometric structures.**

Definition 11. Suppose that G/H is a homogeneous space. Let $X = G/H$ and $x_0 = 1 \cdot H \in X$. Suppose that M is a manifold. Pick a point $m_0 \in M$. Denote the universal covering space by

$$\pi : \left(\tilde{M}, \tilde{m}_0\right) \to (M, m_0)$$

A (G, X)-structure is a choice of group morphism $h : \pi_1(M) \to G$ and local diffeomorphism

$$\delta : \left(\tilde{M}, \tilde{m}_0\right) \to (X, x_0)$$

so that

$$\delta \circ \gamma = h(\gamma) \circ \delta,$$

for every $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$. Two (G, X)-structures are *equivalent* if they differ by

$$(\delta, h) \mapsto (g\delta, \operatorname{Ad}(g)h)$$

for some $g \in H$; see [32].

Definition 12. Suppose that $X = G/H$ and $X' = G/H'$ with $H \subset H'$. Suppose that H'/H is connected. Suppose that M' is a connected manifold with chosen point $m_0' \in M'$ and with a (G, X')-structure (δ', h'). Let

$$\pi' : \left(\tilde{M}', \tilde{m}_0'\right) \to (M', m_0')$$

be the universal covering map. Define a manifold $\check{M}$ and a map $\check{\delta} : \check{M} \to X$ by pullback

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\check{M} \arrow[r, "\check{\delta}"] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d] \\
\tilde{M}' \arrow[r, "\delta'"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"] & X' \\
M'. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The group $\pi_1(M')$ acts on $\tilde{M}'$ by deck transformations, and on X by h', and so acts on $\check{M}$. Let $M = \pi_1(M') \backslash \check{M}$. So $H'/H \to M \to M'$ is a fiber bundle, giving a morphism $f : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(M')$, and we let $h = h' \circ f$. Since $\check{M} \to M$ is a covering map, there is a factorization of the universal covering map

$$\begin{tikzcd} \left(\tilde{M}, \tilde{m}_0\right) \arrow[r, "\phi"] & \left(\check{M}, \check{m}_0\right) \arrow[r] & (M, m_0) \end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\delta = \check{\delta} \circ \phi$. Then (δ, h) is a (G, X)-structure on M, which we call the *lift* of (δ', h'). A (G, X)-structure *drops* to a (G, X')-structure if it is isomorphic to the lift of that (G, X')-structure.

Example 3. Every (G, X)-structure is precisely a flat G/H-geometry with a chosen point (see the definition of curvature in Section 2 on page 12, and see [63] for proof). Lifting or dropping (G, X)-structures is precisely lifting or dropping flat Cartan geometries.

For convenience in applications, we state the obvious consequence of our theorem in the category of (G, X)-structures.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that*

1. *$X = G/H$ is a complex homogeneous space,*
2. *M is a compact connected manifold,*
3. *M bears a (G, X)-structure and*
4. *in the induced complex structure, M contains a tame rational curve C.*

Then the (G, X)-structure drops to a (G, X')-structure on a compact manifold M', so that

1. *$X' = G/H'$ where $H' \subset G$ is a closed connected complex subgroup,*
2. *H'/H is a connected rational homogeneous variety,*
3. *$M \to M'$ is a holomorphic H'/H-bundle and*
4. *C lies in a fiber of $M \to M'$.*

Theorem 5. *Suppose that*

1. *G/H is a complex homogeneous space,*
2. *M is a compact connected manifold,*
3. *M bears a (G, X)-structure and*
4. *in the induced complex structure, M is rationally tame.*

Then the (G, X)-structure drops to a unique (G, X')-geometry on a compact manifold M', so that

1. *$X' = G/H'$ where $H' \subset G$ is a closed connected complex subgroup,*
2. *H'/H is a connected rational homogeneous variety,*
3. *$M \to M'$ is a holomorphic H'/H-bundle, and*
4. *the manifold M' contains no rational curves.*

If M drops, say to $M \to M''$, and M'' contains no rational curves, then $M \to M''$ factors uniquely through holomorphic drops $M \to M' \to M''$.

1.6. Applications to Cartan's theory of 2-plane fields on 5-manifolds.

Example 4. A rank 2 holomorphic subbundle $V \subset TM$ of the tangent bundle of a 5-dimensional complex manifold M is called a *nondegenerate plane field* if near each point of M there are local holomorphic sections X and Y of V so that $X, Y, [X, Y], [X, [X, Y]], [Y, [X, Y]]$ are linearly independent.

Theorem 6. *If M is complex manifold and bears a nondegenerate plane field, and M contains a rational curve then the plane field is locally isomorphic to Cartan's example on G_2/P_2. If M is compact and either (1) M has finite fundamental group or (2) the rational curve is tame, then $M = G_2/P_2$ with its standard G_2-invariant rank 2 subbundle $V \subset TM$.*

Proof. Cartan [18] associates to every nondegenerate plane field $V \subset TM$ on any a Cartan geometry modelled on G_2/P_2, where G_2 is the exceptional simple Lie group of dimension 14, and P_2 is a certain maximal parabolic subgroup. The homogeneous space G_2/P_2 is rationally primitive since P_2 is a maximal subgroup. The rest is proven in section 4 on page 15. ☐

1.7. **Applications to surface geometry.**

Theorem 7. *Suppose that*

1. *$X = G/H$ a complex homogeneous surface,*
2. *M is a compact complex surface,*
3. *M has a holomorphic G/H-geometry and*
4. *M contains a rational curve.*

Then the geometry is flat and the model X is precisely one of

1. *$\mathbb{P}^2$,*
2. *$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$,*
3. *$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}$,*
4. *$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ or*
5. *$\mathbb{P}^1 \times E$, E any elliptic curve.*

If $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ then $M = \mathbb{P}^2$ and the geometry on M is the model geometry. If M is a Hirzebruch surface, not equal to $\mathbb{P}^2$, then $M = X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and the geometry on M is the model geometry.

Otherwise $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times (G/H')$, for a complex codimension 1 closed subgroup $H' \subset G$ with $H \subset H'$. (Warning: the group G doesn't have to act faithfully on G/H.) Moreover, M is a ruled surface, $M \to C$, over some compact Riemann surface C. The G/H-geometry on M is a (G, X)-structure, lifted from a (G, X')-structure on C.

Proof. Compact complex surfaces are tame, so the rational curve is tame. The G/H-geometry must drop, say to some G/H'-geometry on a compact complex curve, or to a point. If to a point, then G/H must be a complete rational homogeneous surface, so $\mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and $M = G/H$ with its standard model geometry.

Otherwise, the complex Riemann surface G/H' is complex homogeneous, so precisely one of $\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^\times, E$. The bundle $G/H \to G/H'$ has 1-dimensional rational homogeneous fibers, so is a homogeneous bundle of rational curves. By the classification of complex homogeneous surfaces (see Huckleberry and Livorni [40]), $G/H \to G/H'$ is a trivial bundle. Geometries on curves are flat, since curvature is a semibasic 2-form. In our setting, the geometry is holomorphic, so the curvature is holomorphic: a semibasic $(2, 0)$-form. Therefore the geometry is a (G, X')-structure. ☐

This theorem reduces the problem of surface geometries to the cases where the surface is minimal, and therefore given (more or less explicitly) in the Enriques–Kodaira classification up to biholomorphism.

1.8. **Applications to conformal geometry.** In dimensions at least 3, the model of conformal geometry is the smooth quadric hypersurface

$$G/H = \mathrm{PO}\left(n+2,\mathbb{C}\right)/P \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}.$$

In the special case $n = 2$, $G/H = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and a holomorphic conformal geometry is never a holomorphic Cartan geometry.

Corollary 3. *A compact complex surface containing a rational curve bears a holomorphic $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$-geometry if and only if the surface and conformal geometry are constructed from the process given in theorem 7 on the preceding page out of a holomorphic projective connection (i.e., $\mathbb{P}^1$-geometry) on a compact complex curve.*

Note that this observation easily obtains part of the classification theorem of Kobayashi and Ochiai [52].

Theorem 8. *Suppose that M is a complex manifold of dimension at least 3, with a holomorphic conformal structure, and M contains a rational curve. Then the holomorphic conformal structure is flat. If M is compact and either (1) has finite fundamental group or (2) the rational curve is tame, then M is a smooth quadric hypersurface with its standard conformal structure.*

Proof. A holomorphic conformal geometry is equivalent to a holomorphic Cartan geometry; see [17]. The homogeneous model, namely the smooth quadric hypersurface, is rationally primitive, since it is a rational homogeneous variety $\mathrm{PO}\left(n+2,\mathbb{C}\right)/P$ where $P \subset \mathrm{PO}\left(n+2,\mathbb{C}\right)$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup. Therefore if M is compact and there is a tame rational curve, the result follows from the main theorem. The rest is proven in section 4 on page 15. □

This strengthens the work of Belgun [9], who proved that complex null geodesics cannot be rational except on conformally flat manifolds.

1.9. **Applications to classification of geometric structures on Fano manifolds.**

Definition 13. A complex manifold is called *rationally connected* if any two points of it lie in a rational curve.

Example 5. Fano manifolds are rationally connected (see [54, p. 766, Theorem 0.1]).

Corollary 4. *Let M be a rationally connected compact complex manifold bearing a holomorphic Cartan geometry, with model G/H. Then up to isomorphism $M = G/H$, with its standard model G/H-geometry. Moreover G/H must be a rational homogeneous complete variety (i.e., H must contain a maximal connected solvable subgroup of G, and intersect every path component of G).*

Proof. Rationally connected implies bimeromorphic to a compact Kähler manifold (see Campana [13, p. 547 Theorem 4.5]) and therefore tame. Apply Theorem 3 on page 4. □

Theorem 9. *Rigidity: the only holomorphic Cartan geometries on a complete rational homogeneous variety G/P are the flat G'/P'-geometries given by global biholomorphisms $G/P \to G'/P'$.*

Proof. Complete rational homogeneous varieties are rationally connected. □

The isomorphisms between complete rational homogeneous varieties G/P and G'/P' with different groups G and G' are classified; see [2, p. 214], [67]. All such isomorphisms are equivariant under transitive holomorphic group actions.

1.10. **Application to MRC fibrations.**

Corollary 5. *Suppose that M is a compact rationally tame complex manifold bearing a holomorphic Cartan geometry, modelled on a homogeneous space G/H. By Theorem 3 on page 4, there is a unique drop $M \to M'$ with rational homogeneous fibers so that M' has no rational curves. This drop $M \to M'$ is the maximal rationally connected fibration (see* [53, p. 222]*); in particular, that fibration is a smooth fiber bundle of complete rational homogeneous fibers over a smooth base, and the base contains no rational curves.*

1.11. **Applications to automorphism groups of geometric structures.**

Definition 14. The *Kodaira dimension* κ_M of a compact complex manifold M is the smallest integer κ for which

$$\frac{\dim H^0\left(M, K_M^{\otimes n}\right)}{n^\kappa}$$

is finite for $n > 0$ (where K_M is the canonical bundle of M), but set $\kappa_M = -1$ if $\dim H^0\left(M, K^{\otimes n}\right) = 0$ for all but finitely many $n > 0$.

Theorem 10. *Let M be a connected smooth projective variety bearing a holomorphic Cartan geometry which doesn't drop. Suppose that the Cartan geometry has model G/H and that H is connected. Let $\operatorname{Aut} M$ be the automorphism group of the Cartan geometry. Then the identity component $\operatorname{Aut}^0 M \subset \operatorname{Aut} M$ is an abelian variety, and*

$$\dim \operatorname{Aut} M \leq \dim M - \kappa_M,$$

where κ_M is the Kodaira dimension of M.

Proof. The automorphisms of any smooth projective variety which is not uniruled satisfy

$$\dim \operatorname{Bihol} M \leq \dim M - \kappa_M,$$

where κ_M is the Kodaira dimension of M. The identity component of $\operatorname{Bihol} M$ is an abelian variety; for proof see [41, p. 6] and [62]. □

A well known conjecture says that for a projective variety M, if $\kappa_M = -1$, then M is uniruled. If M admits a holomorphic Cartan geometry which doesn't drop, then using Theorem 3, this conjecture implies that $\kappa_M \geq 0$.

Theorem 10 is similar to results of Frances [26] and Bader, Frances and Melnick [4].

1.12. **Applications to projective connections.**

The following theorem generalizes the results of Jahnke and Radloff [44]. They require that the projective connection be torsion-free and that the manifold be Kähler; see Gunning [36].

Theorem 11. *The only connected compact complex manifold bearing a projective connection and containing a tame rational curve is $\mathbb{P}^n$, and the only projective connection it bears is the standard flat one.*

Proof. Projective space $\mathbb{P}^n = \operatorname{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})/P$ is rationally primitive. □

1.13. **Applications to the geometry of ordinary differential equations.** As usual, we treat points of $\mathbb{P}^1$ as lines through 0 in $\mathbb{C}^2$, and we write $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for the bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ whose fiber over a point $L \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is the tensor power $(L^*)^{\otimes n}$ if $n > 0$, $L^{\otimes n}$ if $n < 0$ and $\mathbb{C}$ if $n = 0$. We will denote the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(n)$ also as $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Thus the global sections of $\mathcal{O}(n) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ are the homogeneous polynomials $\operatorname{Sym}^n \left(\mathbb{C}^2\right)^*$ of degree n. Write points of $\mathcal{O}(n)$ as pairs (L, q), where $L \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $q \in (L^*)^{\otimes n}$. Let

$$G = \left(\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) / n\text{-th roots of } 1\right) \rtimes \operatorname{Sym}^n \left(\mathbb{C}^2\right)^*$$

act on $\mathcal{O}(n)$ by $(g, p)(L, q) = \left(gL, q\, g^{-1} + p|_{gL}\right)$. Let H be the stabilizer of some point of $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Clearly $\mathcal{O}(n) = G/H$. Moreover, G acts freely on $\mathcal{O}(n)$. The action of G preserves the fiber bundle map $\mathcal{O}(n) \to \mathbb{P}^1$, and preserves the affine structure on each fiber. It also acts transitively on the global sections of $\mathcal{O}(n) \to \mathbb{P}^1$, a family of curves transverse to the fibers. Moreover it acts on $\mathbb{P}^1$ via a surjection to the group of linear fractional transformations.

Every surface with $\mathcal{O}(n)$-geometry inherits a foliation, corresponding to the fiber bundle map, and inherits a family of curves which locally are identified with the global sections.

It is well known (see Lagrange [56, 57], Fels [24, 25], Dunajski and Tod [23], Godliński and Nurowski [31], Doubrov [21]) that every holomorphic scalar ordinary differential equation of order $n + 1 \geq 3$ is locally determined by, and locally determines, an $\mathcal{O}(n)$-geometry invariant under point transformations. Each solution of the differential equation is mapped by the developing map to a global section of $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Not every $\mathcal{O}(n)$-geometry arises — even locally — from a holomorphic scalar ordinary differential equation, but we can ignore this issue.

Theorem 12. *Suppose that M is a compact complex surface. Suppose that M bear an $\mathcal{O}(n)$-geometry. Then M contains no rational curves. In particular, the universal covering space of each local solution of the ordinary differential equation develops to a rational curve in the model, but not by an isomorphism.*

Proof. Elementary algebra shows that the $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is rationally primitive. But M is compact, so it is not isomorphic to the model. Therefore M contains no rational curves. □

1.14. **Applications to cominiscule geometries.** Theorem 3 on page 4 generalizes the Main Theorem of Hwang & Mok [42, p. 55]. Hwang and Mok used the language of G-structures. We will provide a dictionary to make the connection between their result and ours clearer.

Definition 15. A complex homogeneous space G/H is called an *irreducible compact Hermitian symmetric space* (ICHSS), also called a *cominiscule variety*, if it is compact, with G and H connected, G semisimple and $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ an irreducible H-module; see Landsberg [58].

If G/H is a cominscule variety, then $H \subset G$ is a maximal subgroup. In particular, cominiscule varieties are rationally primitive.

Definition 16. A Cartan geometry modelled on a cominiscule variety is called a *cominiscule geometry* or *almost Hermitian symmetric geometry* [6, 7, 8] or *ICHSS geometry*. Note that the cominiscule variety is treated as a complex homogeneous space G/H, so that G is the biholomorphism group, not the isometry group, of the ICHSS.

Definition 17. The *coframe bundle* of a complex manifold M of dimension n is the holomorphic principal $\mathrm{GL}\,(n, \mathbb{C})$-bundle associated to the holomorphic tangent bundle TM.

Definition 18. If $G \subset \mathrm{GL}\,(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a closed complex subgroup, a *G-structure* is a holomorphic section of the fiber bundle $FM/G \to M$, where FM is the coframe bundle.

Definition 19. An *S-structure* (following the terminology of Hwang and Mok [42]) is a G-structure where $G \subset \mathrm{GL}\,(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a reductive linear algebraic complex Lie group with a faithful irreducible complex representation on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

As explained by Čap [16] (and proven by Tanaka [68] and Čap and Schichl [17]), Cartan's method of equivalence associates to any holomorphic S-structure a holomorphic cominiscule geometry. The construction is local, in terms of differential invariants. The S-structure can be recovered from the cominiscule geometry. Not every cominiscule geometry arises in this way; those which do are called *normal* and can be characterized by certain curvature equations (see Čap and Schichl [17] for complete details).

Theorem 13 (Hwang–Mok [42])**.** *Suppose that M is a uniruled smooth projective variety bearing a holomorphic S-structure. Then M is a cominiscule variety, and the holomorphic S-structure is the standard flat one.*

Clearly we can improve this result:

Theorem 14. *Let M be a compact complex manifold containing a tame rational curve, and equipped with a holomorphic cominiscule geometry (not necessarily normal), say modelled on some cominiscule variety G/H. Then $M = G/H$, and the cominiscule geometry on M is the flat G-invariant model geometry.*

Proof. Cominiscule varieties are rationally primitive. □

Corollary 6. *Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold containing a tame rational curve and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \geq 2$.*

1. *If $TM = U \otimes V$, where U and V are holomorphic vector bundles of ranks p and q respectively, and $p, q \geq 2$, then $M = \mathrm{Gr}\,(p, p+q)$ is a complex Grassmannian.*
2. *If $TM = \Lambda^2\,(U)$, where U is a holomorphic vector bundle, then, for some integer p, M is the Grassmannian of p-dimensional linear subspaces in a smooth complex quadric hypersurface of dimension $2p$.*
3. *If $TM = \mathrm{Sym}^2\,(U)$, where U is a holomorphic vector bundle, then M is the Grassmannian of complex Lagrangian subspaces of a complex linear symplectic form.*

Proof. Each hypothesis is equivalent to one form or another of S-structure. □

The analoguous result for uniruled projective manifolds is due to Hwang and Mok [42].

1.15. Applications to parabolic geometries.

Drops are partial ordered by defining $M \geq M'$ if $M \to M'$ is a drop. It is natural to ask if there is a unique least element in this ordering.

Corollary 7. *Suppose that M is a compact rationally tame complex manifold. The set of drops $M \to M'_\alpha$ with rationally connected fibers has a least element.*

Proof. Suppose that M has two drops, say $M \to M'_1$ and $M \to M'_2$. If the fibers of $M \to M'_1$ are *not* contained in the fibers of $M \to M'_2$, then the rational curves in the fibers of $M \to M'_1$ will project to rational curves in M'_2. Therefore there must be a further drop of M'_2. Replace M'_2 by this drop and apply induction on dimension. □

1.16. Applications to parabolic twistor theory.

Definition 20. A *twistor correspondence* [16] between holomorphic Cartan geometries $E_0 \to M_0$ and $E_1 \to M_1$ with models G/H_0 and G/H_1 is a biholomorphism $F : E_0 \to E_1$, equivariant for $H = H_0 \cap H_1$, so that $F^*\omega_1 = \omega_0$. Such a map F identifies the lifts E_0/H and E_1/H.

If G is a semisimple Lie group, then G has a unique maximal connected solvable subgroup up to conjugacy; these are called Borel subgroups. In this section we will fix a Borel subgroup of G and consider the parabolic subgroups of G that contain that same Borel subgroup. Parabolic geometries will be modelled on G/P where P must contain that fixed Borel subgroup.

Theorem 15. *Two holomorphic parabolic geometries on compact complex manifolds, one of which is rationally tame, admit a twistor correspondence just when they have an isomorphic drop.*

Proof. We can assume that F in Definition 20 is the identity map, so we face holomorphic parabolic geometries $E \to M_0$ and $E \to M_1$, modelled on G/P_0 and G/P_1, say, on the same total space and with the same Cartan connection. Let $B \subset P_1 \cap P_2$ be the Borel subgroup of G. Then $E/B \to M_0$ and $E/B \to M_1$ are holomorphic fiber bundles with rationally connected fibers, and so the G/B-geometry on E/B must drop to a geometry, say E/H', so that the fibers of E/H' contain the fibers of both $E/B \to M_0$ and of $E \to M_1$, i.e., H' contains P_0 and P_1. □

The local results analoguous to Corollary 7 and Theorem 15 are clear from Čap [16], p. 15, unnumbered theorem.

2. CURVATURE

Definition 21. Let ω be the Cartan connection of a Cartan geometry $E \to M$ modelled on a homogeneous space G/H. Consider the $\mathfrak{g}$–valued 2–form

$$d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] \tag{1}$$

on E. Since ω is an isomorphism of TE with the trivial vector bundle on E with fiber $\mathfrak{g}$, the 2–form in (1) produces a function on E with values in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$. This function descends to a function K on E with values in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^2 (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$ called the *curvature* of the Cartan connection. A Cartan geometry is called *flat* if $K = 0$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is equipped with the adjoint action of H. Let $E \times^H \mathfrak{g}$ be the vector bundle over M associated to the principal H-bundle E_H for the H-module $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that the curvature K is a holomorphic section of $\left(E \times^H \mathfrak{g}\right) \otimes \Omega^2(M)$. Consider the principal G-bundle $E_H \times^H G$ over M obtained by extending the structure group of the principal H-bundle E_H using the inclusion of H in G. The form ω defines a holomorphic connection on $E_H \times^H G$ [63]. The section K is the curvature of this connection.

This section K of $\left(E \times^H \mathfrak{g}\right) \otimes \Omega^2(M)$ will also be denoted by $\nabla\omega$.

The model is flat. The Frobenius theorem tells us that a Cartan geometry is locally isomorphic to its model just when it is flat.

Since the curvature 2-form is a holomorphic 2-form (valued in a holomorphic vector bundle), and there are no nonzero holomorphic 2-forms on a curve, every Cartan geometry on a curve is flat.

Suppose that G/H is a homogeneous space and $E \to M$ is a Cartan geometry with Cartan connection ω. For each point $e \in E$, the set $\mathfrak{h}_e$ of vectors $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ for which $\vec{A}(e) \lrcorner \nabla\omega = 0$ is a Lie algebra, called the *apparent structure algebra*, and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}_e$ is a subalgebra. It follows then that if the Cartan geometry drops to a Cartan geometry modelled on, say, G/H', then $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{h}_e$. Conversely [15, p. 15], if $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie subalgebra and if $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{h}_e$ for every point $e \in E$, then every point $m \in M$ lies in a neighborhood in which there is a local isomorphism to a G/H-geometry which drops to a G/H'-geometry. So the local dropping problem is easily analyzed in terms of the apparent structure algebra. These observations will play no role in this paper, but have played a significant role in the literature [15].

3. Development of curves

Lemma 1 (Sharpe [63], p. 188, Theorem 3.15)**.** *The Cartan connection of any Cartan geometry $\pi : E \to M$ determines isomorphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \ker \pi'(e) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T_e E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T_m M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{h} \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

for any points $m \in M$ and $e \in E_m$; thus TM is identified with the vector bundle $E \times^H (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ associated to E_H for the H-module $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$.

Definition 22. Suppose that $E_0 \to M_0$ and $E_1 \to M_1$ are two G/H-geometries, with Cartan connections ω_0 and ω_1 respectively, and X is a manifold or a smooth variety. A smooth map $f_1 : X \to M_1$ is a *development* of a smooth map $f_0 : X \to M_0$ if there exists an isomorphism $F : f_0^* E_0 \to f_1^* E_1$ of principal H-bundles identifying the pullback of ω_0 with that of ω_1:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_0 \arrow[d] & f_0^* E_0 \arrow[l] \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[dr] & & f_1^* E_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[dl] & E_1 \arrow[d] \\
M_0 & & X \arrow[ll, "f_0"'] \arrow[rr, "f_1"] & & M_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

Development is an equivalence relation. For example, a development of an open subset is precisely a local isomorphism.

The graph of F in Definition 22 is an integral manifold of the Pfaffian system $\omega_0 = \omega_1$ on $f_0^* E_0 \times E_1$, and so F is the solution of a system of (determined or overdetermined) differential equations, and conversely solutions to those equations produce developments.

Remark 3. By Lemma 1 on the previous page, the developing map f_1 has differential f_1' of the same rank as f_0' at each point of X, and moreover $f_0^* TM_0 = f_1^* TM_1$ as vector bundles on X. In particular, any development of an immersion is an immersion.

Proposition 1 ([60, 63])**.** *Suppose that $E \to M$ is a holomorphic G/H-geometry, and $f_0 : C \to M$ is a holomorphic map of a simply connected Riemann surface. Suppose that $e_0 \in E$ lies above a point of the image of f_0. Then there is a unique development $f_1 : C \to G/H$ so that the associated isomorphism $F : f_0^* E \to f_1^* G$ (which is also unique) satisfies $F(e_0) = 1 \in G$ (here $f_1^* G$ is the pullback of the principal H-bundle $G \to G/H$).*

Definition 23. We will say that e_0 is the *frame* of the development f_1.

Remark 4. Every holomorphic vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is a direct sum of holomorphic line bundles, each of which has the form $\mathcal{O}(d)$, for some degree d [35]. These degrees are uniquely determined up to permutation. A vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ is spanned by its global sections just when none of the degrees are negative. Recall $T\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathcal{O}(2)$.

We now prove Theorem 1 on page 3.

Proof. The development of any rational curve to the model is free because the tangent bundle of G/H is a quotient of the trivial vector bundle over G/P with fiber $\mathfrak{g}$; the quotient map is given by the left translation action of G on G/H. By Remark 3, the original rational curve in M has the same ambient tangent bundle, and so is also free. □

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $E \to M$ is a G/H-geometry, and $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to M$ is a rational curve. Then the holomorphic vector bundle $f^* E \times^H \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is trivial.*

Proof. Clearly it suffices to prove the result for any development $f_1 : \mathbb{P}^1 \to G/H$. The vector bundle $G \times^H \mathfrak{g}$ over G/H associated to the principal H-bundle $G \to G/H$ for the H-module $\mathfrak{g}$ is identified with the trivial vector bundle over G/H with fiber $\mathfrak{g}$; this isomorphism is obtained from the isomorphism $G \times \mathfrak{g} \to G \times \mathfrak{g}$ defined by $(g, v) \mapsto (g, \operatorname{Ad}(g^{-1})(v))$. □

Lemma 3. *Suppose that $E \to M$ is a G/H-geometry. Then every rational curve in M is a smooth point of the space of all of its deformations.*

Proof. Since the rational curves are free, we can apply the Horikawa deformation theorem [38, 39]. □

The following lemma is essentially theorem 2 of [42, p. 55].

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $E \to M$ is a G/H-geometry, and $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to M$ is a rational curve. Fix a decomposition $f^* TM = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{O}(d)^{\oplus p_d}$. Let $T_f^+ = \bigoplus_{d > 0} \mathcal{O}(d)^{\oplus p_d} \subset f^* TM$ be the sum of all positive degree line subbundles of $f^* TM$. Then $T_f^+ \subset f^* TM$ is a holomorphic vector bundle, containing $f_* T\mathbb{P}^1$ (and so of rank at least one), and if $v \in T_f^+$ then $v \lrcorner f^* \nabla \omega = 0$, where $\nabla \omega$ as before denotes the curvature.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 on page 3, f is free. By Remark 4 on the preceding page, f^*TM is a sum of nonnegative degree line bundles and T^+ is a vector subbundle. Since f is not constant, $f' : T\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathcal{O}(2) \to f^*TM$ is not a zero mapping, so f^*TM must contain a line bundle of degree at least 2. Since the quotient $(f^*TM)/T_f^+$ is a trivial vector bundle, there is no nonzero homomoprhism from $\mathcal{O}(2)$ to $(f^*TM)/T_f^+$. Consequently, $f_*T\mathbb{P}^1$ is contained in T_f^+.

By Lemma 2 on the facing page, $f^*\nabla\omega$ is a section of $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus \dim G} \otimes f^*T^*M \otimes f^*T^*M$, a direct sum of line bundles of nonpositive degree. We note that $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus \dim G} \otimes \left(T_f^+\right)^* \otimes f^*T^*M$ is a direct sum of line bundles of negative degree, so does not admit any nonzero section. In particular, the projection

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}^{\oplus \dim G} \otimes f^*T^*M \otimes f^*T^*M) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}^{\oplus \dim G} \otimes \left(T_f^+\right)^* \otimes f^*T^*M)$$

is the zero homomorphism. □

4. The local consequences of rational curves

Theorem 16. *Suppose that $E \to M$ is a G/H-geometry, and M contains a rational curve. Then there is a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}'$ containing $\mathfrak{h}$, $\dim \mathfrak{h}' > \dim \mathfrak{h}$, and a covering of M by open sets U_α so that the restriction of the G/H-geometry to U_α is locally isomorphic to the lift of a holomorphic Cartan geometry modelled on $(G, \mathfrak{h}')$. Take a complex Lie subgroup $H' \subset G$ containing H with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}'$. The manifold M is foliated by complex submanifolds of positive dimension on which the G/H-geometry restricts to a flat Cartan geometry modelled on $(H', \mathfrak{h})$.*

Remark 5. For the definition of a a holomorphic Cartan geometry modelled on a pair $(G, \mathfrak{h}')$, see Sharpe [63].

Proof. Inductively define covariant derivatives

$$\nabla^p K : E \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^2 \left(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}\right)^* \otimes \bigotimes^p \mathfrak{g}^*$$

of the curvature by $\nabla^0 K = K$ and

$$d\nabla^p K = \nabla^{p+1} K \omega.$$

Write the map $E \to M$ as $\pi : E \to M$. Pick a point $m \in M$ and a point $e \in E$ so that $\pi(e) = m$. If $v_1 \in T_m M$ is a vector on which $v_1 \lrcorner \bar{\omega} = 0$, we can take any vectors $v_1, w \in T_m M$. Take any vectors $A_1, A_2, B \in \mathfrak{g}$ for which

$$\pi'(e)\vec{A}_j = v_j,$$

and

$$\pi'(e)\vec{B} = w.$$

Consider the expression

$$\nabla^1 K \left(A_1, A_2\right) B.$$

Clearly if $B \in \mathfrak{h}$, then this vanishes, because

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^1 K \left(A_1, A_2\right) B &= \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} r_{e^{t\vec{B}}} K \left(A_1, A_2\right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can let

$$\nabla^1 \omega \left(v_1, v_2\right) w$$

be the associated section of

$$(E \times_H \mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Lambda^2 (T^* M) \otimes T^* M.$$

Inductively, if $\nabla^p \omega$ has null vector v_1, we can define

$$\nabla^{p+1} \omega (v_1, v_2) (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{p+1}) = \nabla^{p+1} K (A_1, A_2) (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{p+1}),$$

a section of

$$E \times_H W_p,$$

where

$$W_p = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^2 (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^* \otimes \bigotimes^p (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^* .$$

Take v_1 tangent to a rational curve in M;

$$0 = \nabla^p \omega (v_1, v_2) (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p)$$

for all vectors $v_2, w_1, \dots, w_p$, for all p, precisely as in lemma 4 on page 14, since the ambient tangent bundle of any rational curve is spanned by its global sections, and the tangent bundle of the projective line is spanned by global sections, all of which vanish somewhere.

Consequently, if $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ projects to some nonzero vector $v \in T_m M$ tangent to a rational curve, then $A \lrcorner K$ vanishes with its derivatives of all orders at every point of the fiber E_m. Therefore $A \lrcorner K$ vanishes everywhere. The result follows from Čap [16], p. 15, unnumbered theorem. ☐

Corollary 8. *Suppose that G/H is a complex-homogeneous space, and that $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ has maximal dimension among complex Lie subalgebras. Suppose that $E \to M$ is a G/H-geometry, and M contains a rational curve. Then the G/H-geometry is flat and locally isomorphic to the model.*

Theorem 17. *Suppose that M is complex manifold with a holomorphic parabolic geometry modelled on a complete rational homogeneous variety G/P where $P \subset G$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup. Suppose that M contains a rational curve. Then the parabolic geometry is locally isomorphic to the model. If M is compact and either (1) has finite fundamental group or (2) has a tame rational curve, then M is isomorphic to the model.*

Proof. If a finite fundamental group, then a finite unramified covering space develops to the model G/P. But G/P is simply connected. ☐

Our results on conformal geometry are now clear, modulo the proof of the main theorem.

5. Rational trees and families of rational trees

Definition 24. A *tree of projective lines* is a connected and simply connected reduced complex projective curve with only nodal singularities whose irreducible components are projective lines.

A *rational tree* in a complex space M is a nonconstant morphism $f : T \to M$ from a tree of projective lines.

Definition 25 (Bien, Borel, Kollár [10]). A *family of rational trees through a point* $m_0 \in M$ in a complex manifold M is a proper flat morphism $X \to Y$ of complex spaces such that every fiber of $X \to Y$ is a tree of projective lines, a regular section $s : Y \to X$ and a morphism $f : X \to M$, so that $f(s(y)) = m_0$ for all $y \in Y$. A family of rational trees is *tame* if all of its rational trees are tame. If Y is connected, then the family is tame if and only if any one of the trees in the family is tame.

Definition 26. Suppose that M is a complex manifold. Pick a family of tame rational trees

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d] & M \\ Y & \end{tikzcd}$$

through a point $m \in M$. If the Zariski closure of the image of f is of maximal dimension in M among all families of tame rational trees through m, we will say that f is a *maximal dimensional family.*

Definition 27. Suppose that M is a complex manifold. Pick a maximal dimensional family of tame rational trees

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d] & M \\ Y & \end{tikzcd}$$

through a point $m_0 \in M$. Suppose $R(m_0)$ is a maximal dimensional component of the Zariski closure of the image of f. Then we will call $R(m_0)$ a *blade.*

Lemma 5. *Suppose that M is a complex manifold in which every rational curve is free. Then there is a unique blade through each point of M. The blade through a point contains all tame rational trees through that point.*

Proof. Suppose that there is another component, of another family, not necessarily maximal dimensional, passing through the same point of M. Pick the generic tree from one family, and the generic tree from the other, and graft them at m_0. Consider the space of deformations of the grafted tree with a marked point. Take as a blade the image of this new family (i.e., X is the family, Y the family forgetting the marked point, f taking the image of the marked point). This blade is irreducible, by definition, and contains strictly the images of the two previous families, so must be of higher dimension. So the original family was not of maximal dimension, a contradiction.

To be more explicit, we need to explain how to graft two families. Suppose that

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_1 \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d, "p_1"] & M & X_2 \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "p_2"] & M \\ Y_1 & & Y_2 & \end{tikzcd}$$

are two families of curves rooted at the same point $m_0 \in M$, say with sections $s_1 : Y_1 \to X_1$ and $s_2 : Y_2 \to X_2$. Let $Y = Y_1 \times Y_2$. Let $X \subset X_1 \times X_2$ be the set of points $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$ such that either $x_1 = s_1(y_1)$ or $x_2 = s_2(y_2)$, where $y_1 = p_1(x_1)$ and $y_2 = p_2(x_2)$. Clearly $X \to Y$ is a proper family.

We can see that X is a union of two components, X_1 and X_2 (perhaps themselves not irreducible). Moreover we can identify $X_1 \cap X_2 = Y$. Away from the “grafting points”

$$x = (x_1, x_2) = (s_1(y), s_2(y))$$

for any $y \in Y$, and X is local isomorphic to X_1 or to X_2. At the grafting points, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{x,X} \to \mathcal{O}_{x_1,X_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{x_2,X_2} \to \mathcal{O}_{y,Y} \to 0,$$

with the middle and final entries being flat over $\mathcal{O}_{y,Y}$. Therefore the first entry is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{y,Y}$; see [37, p. 254, Proposition 9.1A]. So $X \to Y$ is flat.

Map $X \to M$ by

$$F(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} f_1(x_1) & \text{if } x_2 = s_2(p_2(x_2)), \\ f_2(x_2) & \text{if } x_1 = s_1(p_1(x_1)). \end{cases}$$

Clearly F is a morphism of complex spaces. □

Deformations of a rational tree consist in deformations of the root component which pass through the root m_0, and then any deformation of the adjacent components which pass through that first component, etc. We can describe the tangent space explicitly then as consisting in a section of the normal bundle along each component, and a choice of vector in the ambient tangent space at each marked or nodal point, so that the vectors at nodes agree (modulo the tangent directions) with the sections of the normal bundle of the components through that node. In particular, the deformations of any given free rational tree, with fixed topology, form a smooth manifold near that rational tree.

6. Development of families of rational trees in a Cartan geometry

Lemma 6 ([60]). *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that $f_0 : T \to M$ is a rational tree in a complex manifold M with holomorphic G/H-geometry $E \to M$. If we fix a choice of point $p_0 \in T$ and a point $e_0 \in E_{f_0(p_0)}$, which we call the* frame *of the development, then then there is a unique development $f_1 : T \to G/H$ with associated isomorphism $F : f_0^* E \to f_1^* G$ such that $F(e_0) = 1$.*

Corollary 9. *Suppose that M is a complex manifold. Suppose that*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d] & M \\ Y & \end{tikzcd}$$

is a family of rational curves passing through a point $m_0 \in M$. Suppose that M has a Cartan geometry. Then $f : X \to M$ satisfies $f^* \nabla \omega = 0$.

Proof. Pick a fiber X_y and write it as $C = X_y$. The tangent sheaf of C is spanned by global sections near a generic point of C. These global sections map by f_* to global sections of the tangent sheaf of a rational curve in M, and therefore by Lemma 4 on page 14, $f^* \nabla \omega$ vanishes on these sections. Consequently, $f^* \nabla \omega$ is defined on the cokernel of $TC \to TX|_C$, i.e., the normal sheaf. The infinitesimal deformations of C fixing the point m_0 must all vanish at m_0, so must belong to T^+. □

Definition 28. Suppose that M is a complex manifold bearing a holomorphic Cartan geometry $E \to M$ modelled on a complex homogeneous space G/H. Suppose that

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f_0"] \arrow[d] & M \\ Y & \end{tikzcd}$$

is a family of rational trees passing through a point $m_0 \in M$. Pick any element $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$, and develop each fiber of the family to a rational tree in G/H, using the same e_0 as frame for all of the rational trees, giving a family of rational trees through the H-coset in G/H:

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f_1"] \arrow[d] & G/H \\ Y & \end{tikzcd}$$

Call this family a *development* of the original family. The associated isomorphisms of principal H-bundles $F_y : f_0^* E|_{X_y} \to f_1^* G|_{X_y}$ vary holomorphically with y, by the existence and uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations, so form a single principal H-bundle isomorphism $F : f_0^* E \to f_1^* G$.

Lemma 7. *Let M be a complex manifold. Suppose that*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d] & M \\ Y & \end{tikzcd}$$

is a family of rational trees passing through a point $m_0 \in M$. Suppose that M has a Cartan geometry. Then $f^* \nabla \omega = 0$, where $\nabla \omega$ is the curvature.

Proof. Every infinitesimal deformation of a rational tree consists precisely of a choice of section s of the normal bundle of each component $\mathbb{P}^1$, and choice of tangent vector v at each marked point p where components are connected, so that $s(p) = v \mod T_p \mathbb{P}^1$. So at smooth points of the space Y, we can use this description of the normal sheaf of a tree.

Each rational tree in our family has a "root component" mapped through m_0. On the root component of a rational tree through m_0, $f^* \nabla \omega = 0$, by Corollary 9 on the preceding page.

Pick out just one component of a rational tree. Then $f^* \nabla \omega = 0$ on the tangent vectors of that component because it is a rational curve. Therefore we need only evaluate $f^* \nabla \omega = 0$ on the normal vectors of each component.

Pick an element v of the normal bundle of a component of a rational tree. On any component, if v is not null for $f^* \nabla \omega$, then v must belong to a nonpositive degree line bundle in the normal bundle. The normal bundle, being a quotient of the ambient tangent bundle, is a sum of nonnegative degree line bundles. Therefore v must belong to a zero degree line bundle inside the normal bundle. Moreover, the curvature must not vanish at any point of that section, since the curvature splits into nonpositive degree summands, and the nonzero contributions must come from zero degree summands.

Suppose that we have already established that $f^* \lrcorner \nabla\omega = 0$ on all sections of the normal bundle of one component C_0 of our tree. Consider a component C_1 which is adjacent to C_0. Take a normal vector v_1 to C_1. Take a section s_1 of the normal sheaf along C_1 extending the normal vector v_1. At the nodal point p where C_0 meets C_1, there is some tangent vector w representing an infinitesimal motion of the tree given by the section s_1. By freeness of rational curves, there must be a section s_0 of the normal bundle of C_0 which gives a corresponding infinitesimal motion of C_0 so that the tree can infinitesimally move by these sections and remain connected, i.e., a section with velocity w at the node. By induction $s_0 \lrcorner f^*\nabla\omega = 0$. Therefore $w \lrcorner f^*\nabla\omega = 0$. But $s_1(p) = w$, since w represents the same tangent vector on both components, so $s_1(p) \lrcorner f^*\nabla\omega = 0$, The curvature vanishes at some point of s_1, and therefore at all points: $v_1 \lrcorner f^*\nabla\omega = 0$. Apply induction to components. □

Definition 29. Following [10] we denote the H-coset of the identity in G/H (the "origin" of G/H) as o_H.

Lemma 8. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a complex manifold with a G/H-geometry. Pick a point $m_0 \in M$. Take any family of rational trees passing through m_0*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f_0"] \arrow[d] & M \\ Y. & \end{tikzcd}$$

Then the development of this family of rational trees to a family of rational trees in G/H is *a development as a map, i.e., the map $f_1 : X \to G/H$ is a development of the map $f_0 : X \to M$.*

Remark 6. The idea of the proof is simple; we will try to state it very roughly first. As we move a rational tree around in a family, the total space of the family feels no curvature, by our earlier results. So we can pretend there is no curvature, and by the Frobenius theorem only worry about whether we can get from place to place on submanifolds along which $\omega = g^{-1}\,dg$. We have such submanifolds: develop the various curves through m_0 in our family. We will now make these ideas precise.

Proof. We only need to check that the equation $g^{-1}\,dg = \omega$ is satisfied on the graph of the isomorphism F associated to the development. It suffices to check this over an open subset of Y. We can assume that Y consists of a single irreducible component. We can then, if needed, expand Y to consist in a single irreducible component of the space of deformations of a rational tree given by a generic fiber of $X \to Y$, since any family will map to the deformation space, and the development will extend. But we can again replace Y by any open set in Y. Therefore we can assume that Y is smooth and that $X \to Y$ is a holomorphic submersion away from the nodal points of each tree. We can assume that the section $s : Y \to X$ of our family maps every point $y \in Y$ to a smooth point of the rational tree X_y.

Consider on $f_0^*E \times G$ the Pfaffian system $\omega = g^{-1}\,dg$; see [11] for the theory of Pfaffian systems. The orbits of the diagonal right H-action are Cauchy characteristics [11], so the Pfaffian system is pulled back from a unique Pfaffian system on $Z = f_0^*E \times_H G$ [11, p. 9]. Let $\pi : f_0^*E \times G \to Z$ be the quotient by H-action. The torsion of the Pfaffian system is precisely $f_0^*\nabla\omega$, which vanishes by Lemma 7.

By the Frobenius theorem, the smooth part of each component of Z is foliated by maximal connected integral manifolds. The maximal connected integral manifolds are permuted by left G-action, because the Pfaffian system is left invariant.

Clearly $X \to Y$ is a union of irreducible components, say $X^i \to Y$, so that each $X^i \to Y$ is a family of deformations of a single component of a rational tree. Each X^i has fibers consisting of rational curves X^i_y. So $X^i \to Y$ is a family of rational curves, and we can assume that $X^i \to Y$ is a holomorphic fibration. Moreover, if two components, say $X^0 \to Y$ and $X^1 \to Y$, represent components of a tree meeting at a node, then there is a point where they meet, say $p^0 : Y \to X^0$ and $p^1 : Y \to X^1$ so that $p^0(y) = p^1(y)$ for every $y \in Y$. Replacing Y by an open subset of Y, we can assume that $p^0 : Y \to X^0$ and $p^1 : Y \to X^1$ are smooth morphisms.

We want to work on $Z = f_0^* E \times_H f_1^* G$, a principal H-bundle over X. Split it into $Z^i = Z|_{X^i}$. Each Z^i is smooth. Since $f^* \nabla \omega = 0$ on Z, $f^* \nabla \omega = 0$ on each Z^i. Foliate Z^i by maximal connected integral manifolds of the Pfaffian system (by the Frobenius theorem). We can write each point $z \in Z^i$ as $z = (y, x, e, g)\, H$ where $y \in Y$ and $x \in X^i_y$, $e \in E_{f_0(x)}$ and $g \in G$ and $f_1(x) = g o_H$.

We have an obvious map $\Phi : X \to Z$ given

$$\Phi(x) = (y, x, e, F(e))\, H.$$

if $x \in X_y$, i.e., essentially Φ is just F. By definition of a development, over each X_y this map $\Phi_y : X_y \to Z$ is an integral curve of the Pfaffian system on Z. In particular, the image of each X^i_y under Φ lies in a unique maximal connected integral manifold of the Pfaffian system on Z^i. We will prove that Φ is itself an integral variety of the Pfaffian system on Z.

There is a distinguished "root" component of each rational tree in our family: the component of X_y containing the point $s(y)$. Let's call this component X^0_y. In particular, if we replace Y by a smaller open subset of Y, then this specifies a component X^0 of X and a component Z^0 of Z.

All of the points $x = s(y)$ get mapped to $f(x) = m_0$. They are the "roots" of the various trees. Consider the map $\sigma : Y \to Z$ given by

$$\sigma(y) = (y, s(y), e_0, 1)\, H$$

for every point $y \in Y$. Clearly σ is an integral manifold of the Pfaffian system on Z^0. Since Y is connected, the image of σ must lie in a maximal connected integral manifold $\Lambda^0 \subset Z^0$.

Each integral curve $\Phi|_{X^0_y} : X^0_y \to Z^0$ strikes the graph of σ at the unique point $\Phi(s(y)) = \sigma(y)$, and so intersects Λ^0. Being an integral curve of the Pfaffian system, this integral curve must lie inside Λ^0. So Φ restricted to each curve X^0_y has graph inside Λ^0. But then the graph of Φ restricted to the component X^0 lies inside Λ^0, and so $\Phi|_{X^0} : X^0 \to Z$ is an integral manifold of the Pfaffian system.

Consider two components, say X^0 and X^1, meeting along a node, say at $p^0(y) \in X^0$ and $p^1(y) \in X^1$, so $p^0(y) = p^1(y) \in X$. Consider the morphism $\psi : Y \to Z^0 \cap Z^1$ given by $y \mapsto \Phi(p_0(y))$ for an arbitrary point $e \in E_{p_0(y)}$. By definition, the image of ψ lies in the image of $\Phi|_{X^0}$ and so lies in Λ^0. Therefore ψ is an integral manifold of the Pfaffian system on Z^0 and so is an integral manifold of the (same) Pfaffian system on Z^1. Therefore the image of ψ lies inside a maximal connected integral manifold, say $\Lambda^1 \subset Z^1$, of the Pfaffian system on Z^1. For each $y \in Y$, the curve $\Phi|_{X^1_y} : X^1_y \to Z^1$ is an integral curve of the Pfaffian system. But this curve intersects the image of ψ at the point $\psi(y) = \Phi\left(p^1(y)\right)$. Therefore the image of $\Phi|_{X^1_y} : X^1_y \to Z^1$ lies inside Λ^1. Note that this holds true for every point $y \in Y$.

By induction, using the same argument on the next component X^i of X, there is some integral manifold $\Lambda^i \subset Z^i$ containing the image of $\Phi|_{X^i} : X^i \to Z^i$.

The graph of $F : f_0^* E \to f_1^* G$ consists precisely in the points (y, x, e, g) so that $x \in X_y$ and $e \in E_{f_0(x)}$ and $g \in G_{f_1(x)}$. In other words, the graph of F is the preimage in $f_0^* E \times f_1^* G$ of the image of Φ in Z. Therefore since Φ an integral manifold of the Pfaffian system on Z, the graph of F is an integral manifold of the Pfaffian system upstairs. So the graph of F on $E|_{X^0}$ is an integral manifold of $\omega = g^{-1}\, dg$ meaning, $F^* g^{-1}\, dg = \omega$, i.e., F is a development of maps. □

7. Deformation of stable rational trees and compactness

Definition 30. A rational tree with marked points is *stable* if it is nonconstant on every edge of valence at most two with no marked or nodal points, and on every leaf with at most one marked or nodal point [3, 55, 59].

Definition 31. If $f : T \to M$ is a rational tree in a complex manifold M, with some number of marked points, the *stabilization* of T is the tree obtained by identifying to a point any component of T on which f is constant and which has too few marked or nodal points to be stable.

Remark 7. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold M all of whose rational curves are free. All strata of the compactified moduli space of stable rational trees passing through a point, with any number of marked points, have the “expected” dimensions. The space of stable tame rational trees is an analytic orbispace, as proven by Siebert [66] 1747. (The proof asks for M to be Kähler, but only uses tameness.) The connected components are compact; see [65, Theorem 0.1]. Each stratum of each connected component is a deformation space of stable tame rational trees with fixed topology, so a smooth manifold.

An analytic orbispace is locally the quotient of a complex space by a finite group. The quotient of a complex space by a finite group is a complex space [46, p. 198]. Therefore there is a quotient complex space of any analytic orbivariety, through which the regular maps to complex spaces factor. For the space of stable tame rational trees, this quotient space is a coarse moduli space for stable tame rational trees [66, p. 1754]. In particular, the evaluation maps at marked points (evaluating each stable map at each marked point) factor through to maps to the coarse moduli space. By the Remmert proper mapping theorem [20, p. 150], the image of any evaluation map has Zariski closed image in M.

Remark 8. The concept of family of rational trees through a point admits the obvious orbigeneralization [66, p. 1748, Definition 1.1]. The orbispace of stable tame rational trees of a compact complex manifold represents the functor of orbifamilies of stable rational trees modulo isomorphism [66, p. 1748, Proposition 1.2]. In particular, consider the family of all deformations of a stable tame rational tree with fixed topology. This family of deformations must map to the orbispace of stable tame rational trees, as a morphism of orbifamilies of stable tame rational trees.

Take a generic stable tame rational tree in a given component of the moduli space of stable rational trees. Under this morphism of orbispaces, the image of the family of all deformations with fixed topology will map onto a Zariski open set, and the morphism will be a local isomorphism of orbifamilies. The same holds true if we deform through a fixed point $m_0 \in M$: the family of deformations with fixed topology through a fixed point is a Zariski open subset of the orbispace of all stable tame rational trees through that fixed point.

We sum up this remark in a lemma.

Lemma 9. *Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold all of whose rational curves are free. For each point $m_0 \in M$, there is a proper orbifamily*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d] & M \\ Y & \end{tikzcd}$$

of stable tame rational curves through m_0, and a Zariski open subset $U \subset Y$ so that

1. *The image $f(X)$ is precisely the blade $R(m_0)$ through m_0.*
2. *The restriction of this orbifamily to U is a smooth maximal dimensional family (not just an orbifamily).*
3. *At the generic point $x \in X|_U$, $f'(x) : T_x X \to T_{f(x)} R(m_0)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Start by picking any maximal dimensional family of tame rational trees. Pick a generic tree from the family. Stabilize that tree. Replace the family by the family of all deformations of that tree with fixed topology. Clearly this new family must also be maximal dimensional. Moreover, it sits as an open set in a component of the orbifamily of Remark 8. This orbifamily must have compact Zariski closed image, by Remark 7 on the facing page. This image must lie in the blade, since it consists of points lying on rational trees. But it contains an open subset of the blade. The blade, by definition, is irreducible. Therefore the image of the orbifamily is the blade. By Sard's lemma, $f'(x) : T_x X \to T_{f(x)} R(m_0)$ is surjective at the generic $x \in X$. ☐

Corollary 10. *Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold all of whose rational curves are free. Then the blade through each point of M is a compact rationally connected analytic subvariety. Every point of the blade through a point m_0 lies on a stable tame rational tree through m_0.*

Proposition 2. *Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold all of whose rational curves are free. For the generic point $m \in R(m_0)$, there is a stable rational tree $f : T \to M$ through m_0 and m so that the tangent space $T_m R(m_0)$ is precisely the set of vectors belonging to sections of $f^* TM$ vanishing at m_0.*

Proof. By Lemma 9, the tangent space to the blade is precisely the set of vectors arising from infinitesimal deformations of the generic stable tame rational curve through m_0. ☐

8. Development and compactness

If M is a compact complex manifold bearing a holomorphic Cartan geometry, then we can develop the entire "orbifamily" of all stable tame rational trees (which could have infinitely many components), and the evaluation map of the development on each connected component has Zariski closed image in G/H.

Proposition 3. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. If a stable* *tame rational tree through a point* $o_H \in G/H$ *is the development of a stable tame rational tree through* m_0*, then every deformation of that stable tame rational tree through* o_H *is the development of a stable tame rational tree through* m_0*. Indeed the analytic orbivariety of stable tame rational trees through* m_0 *is biholomorphically mapped to a union of irreducible components of the analytic orbivariety of stable tame rational trees through* o_H *via development through any given frame* e_0*.*

Proof. By the Horikawa deformation theorem [38, 39] and the freedom of all rational curves in M, the deformations of any rational tree with fixed root are unobstructed and form a smooth moduli space near that tree. As explained in Lemma 6 on page 18, all rational trees in M through m_0 develop to rational trees in G/H. Stable trees develop to stable trees. Tame trees develop to tame trees. Distinct trees develop to distinct trees. As we vary trees holomorphically, their developments vary holomorphically. We therefore have an injective morphism between the space of deformations of any rational tree and the space of deformations of its development.

By Theorem 1 on page 3, stable rational trees are unobstructed, and the deformations through a point of a stable rational tree form a complex space of dimension given by the dimension of sections of the normal sheaf vanishing at m_0. (There may still be some “bubbling” among these deformations, but only in lower dimension.) As we pointed out in Remark 3 on page 14, the normal sheaf of a stable rational curve is identified with the normal sheaf of any of its developments. Therefore the deformation space of a stable tree and of its development are complex spaces of equal dimension, with tangent spaces identified by development. The developing map, being injective and having injective differential, gives a local biholomorphism between these spaces. The image of this morphism is therefore open. So we have mapped the analytic orbivarieties of stable rational trees by an injective local biholomorphism.

During the process of convergence in Gromov–Hausdorff norm, i.e., in Siebert’s analytic orbivariety, marked points on tame trees stay away from the nodes. Each stable tame rational tree in our family has a marked point mapping to m_0. So the development of all of our marked stable tame trees remains defined and varies continuously in Gromov–Hausdorff norm, and smoothly away from the “bubbling”, by smooth dependence of solutions of ordinary differential equations. We can develop all stable tame rational trees, mapping every point of the analytic orbivariety of m_0 to that of o_H injectively and holomorphically. By compactness of connected components, the image is closed, so the map is onto each component that its image touches. □

9. Developing the blade

Corollary 11. *Suppose that* G/H *is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that* M *is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic* G/H*-geometry. The blades of* M *are integral varieties of the curvature of* M*.*

Proof. By Proposition 2 on the previous page, the generic point of the blade has tangent plane precisely consisting of values at a point of the infinitesimal deformations of some rational tree. By Lemma 7 on page 19, the curvature vanishes on the blade. □

Corollary 12. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. Then the universal covering space $\widetilde{R}$ of the blade through m_0 develops by a regular map to G/H. Every tame rational tree $f_0 : T \to M$ through m_0 lifts uniquely to a tame rational tree $\widetilde{f_0} : T \to \widetilde{R}$. The development f_1 of f_0 factors through the developing map* $\operatorname{dev} : \widetilde{R} \to G/H$ *as* $f_1 = \operatorname{dev} \circ \widetilde{f_0}$.

Proof. The curvature vanishes on the blade $R(m_0)$. The development along any path is thus independent of homotopy modulo endpoints. Therefore there is a development of the universal covering space $\widetilde{R}$ of the blade. Trees are simply connected, so the lifting is clear. Existence and uniqueness for ordinary differential equations ensures $f_1 = \operatorname{dev} \circ \widetilde{f_0}$. □

Lemma 10. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. Let $\widetilde{R}$ be the universal covering space of the blade $R(m_0)$. Then $\widetilde{R}$ is compact. Every tame rational tree through m_0 is the image of a unique tame rational tree through $\widetilde{m}_0 \in \widetilde{R}$. Every point of $\widetilde{R}$ lies on a tame rational tree through $\widetilde{m}_0$.*

Proof. Since tame rational trees are simply connected, we can lift them from $R = R(m_0)$ to $\widetilde{R}$. By Lemma 9 on page 23, there is a compact orbifamily of stable tame rational curves whose image in M is precisely R, so that a Zariski open subset of that orbifamily is mapped smoothly submersively to a Zariski open subset of R. We can lift each element of this orbifamily to a stable tame rational tree in $\widetilde{R}$, and by existence, uniqueness and smoothness of solutions of ordinary differential equations, these developments form an orbifamily in $\widetilde{R}$. By compactness of the orbifamily, the image of the orbifamily in $\widetilde{R}$ is compact. By the Remmert proper mapping theorem, the image is a compact subvariety. The deformation theory of any stable tame rational curve is locally identical in R and in $\widetilde{R}$, so the orbifamily has image containing a Zariski open subset of $\widetilde{R}$. Because $\widetilde{R}$ is the universal covering of an irreducible variety R, $\widetilde{R}$ is also irreducible. Therefore the orbifamily has image $\widetilde{R}$. So $\widetilde{R}$ is compact, and every point of $\widetilde{R}$ lies on a stable tame rational tree from this orbifamily. □

Corollary 13. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Then every blade in M has finite fundamental group.*

10. The new structure group

Lemma 11. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Pick a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. If a point $go_H \in G/H$ lies on the development of a stable tame rational curve through m_0, then every point hgo_H lies on the development of a stable tame rational curve through m_0, for any point h in the identity component of H.*

Proof. Pick a stable tame rational tree $f : T \to G/H$ rooted at $o_H \in M$ which is the development of a stable tame rational tree in M through m_0. Let H^0 be the identity component of H. Consider the family $F : X = T \times H^0 \to G/H$, $F(t, h) = hf(t)$.

By Proposition 3 on page 23, every element of this family of stable tame rational trees is the development of a stable tame rational tree through m_0. ☐

Definition 32. Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. For each rational tree $f_0 : T \to M$ through m_0, its development $f_1 : T \to G/H$. The points of $f_1^* G$ can be written as pairs (t, g) where $t \in T$ and $g \in G$ and $f_1(t) = g o_H$.

Let $H' = H'(e_0)$ be the set of elements $g \in G$ for which there is some rational tree f_0 through m_0 and some development f_1 and there is some point $(t, g) \in f_1^* G$. Call H' the *drop group*. Clearly $H \subset H'$.

Remark 9. We are eventually going to prove that the drop group H' is precisely the group H' which appears in our main theorem. Unfortunately there are some minor complications in case the group H is not connected, which will require us to consider a close relative to H', which we introduce now.

Definition 33. The bundle $f_1^* G$ has a distinguished point $(o, 1)$ where $o \in T$ is the marked point with $f_0(o) = m_0$. There is a distinguished path component of $f_1^* G$, the path component of the distinguished point.

Let $H'_0 = H'_0(e_0)$ be the set of elements $g \in G$ for which there is some rational tree f_0 through m_0 (whose development we will call f_1), and there is some point $(t, g) \in f_1^* G$ in the distinguished path component of $f_1^* G$. Call H'_0 the *restricted drop group*. Clearly $H'_0 \subset H'$.

We will eventually show that H'_0 is the identity component of H'.

Corollary 14. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Pick a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. The restricted drop group H'_0 of e_0 contains the identity component of H.*

Lemma 12. *The drop group is closed under multiplication, as is the restricted drop group.*

Proof. Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. Let H' be the drop group of e_0. Take two rational trees $f_1 : T_1 \to G/H$ and $f_2 : T_2 \to G/H$, both rooted at the point $o_H \in G/H$. So each has a marked point, say $p_1 \in T_1$ and $p_2 \in T_2$ so that $f_1(p_1) = f_2(p_2) = o_H$. We want simply to imagine sliding the tree T_1 along the tree T_2, so that the root of T_1 traces out the points of T_2. If we can do this, then for any point in H' coming from T_1, say g_1, and any point in H' coming from T_2, say g_2, we will slide over to find that $g_1 g_2 \in H'$.

If a point lies on the development of a rational tree, then it lies on the development of a stable rational tree, since we can stabilize by cancelling out constant maps on components, which has no effect on development. So we can assume that our rational trees are stable.

Let $Y = f_2^* G$. The points of Y have the form (q_2, g_2) with $q_2 \in T_2$ and $g_2 \in G$ so that $f_2(q_2) = g_2 o_H$. Let $X_1 = Y \times T_1$ and $X_2 = Y \times T_2$, trivial bundles over Y. Write points of X_1 as (q_2, g_2, r_1) with $r_1 \in T_1$, and write points of X_2 as (q_2, g_2, r_2) with $r_2 \in T_2$. Map $F_1 : X_1 \to G/H$ by

$$F_1(q_2, g_2, r_1) = g_2 f_1(r_1).$$

Map $F_2 : X_2 \to G/H$ by

$$F_2\left(q_2, g_2, r_1\right) = f_2\left(r_1\right).$$

Say that

$$\left(r_1, q_2, g_2\right) \sim \left(r_2, q_2, g_2\right)$$

if $r_1 = p_1$ and $r_2 = q_2$, i.e., graft the root of tree T_1 to the point q_2. Let $X = \left(X_1 \sqcup X_2\right) / \sim$. We can identify X with the variety $X \subset T_1 \times T_2 \times Y$ consisting of points $\left(r_1, r_2, q_2, g_2\right)$ so that $r_1 = p_1$ or $r_2 = q_2$. Therefore $X \to Y$ is clearly a proper family. The algebraic variety X splits into two irreducible components, say $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, given by $X_1 = \left(r_2 = q_2\right)$ and $X_2 = \left(r_1 = p_1\right)$. Note that $X_1 \cap X_2 \cong Y$. Away from the "grafting point" $\left(p_1, q_2, q_2, g_2\right)$ clearly the map $X \to Y$ is locally identified with either $X_1 \to Y$ or $X_2 \to Y$, so is flat. Near the "grafting point" we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{x,X} \to \mathcal{O}_{x_1,X_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{x_2,X_2} \to \mathcal{O}_{y,Y} \to 0,$$

with the middle and final entries being flat over $\mathcal{O}_{y,Y}$. Therefore the first entry is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{y,Y}$; see [37, p. 254, Proposition 9.1A]. So $X \to Y$ is flat.

The maps F_1 and F_2 agree along the identified points so descend to a morphism $F : X \to G/H$. We take as section $s : Y \to X$ the mapping

$$s\left(q_2, g_2\right) = \left(p_1, p_2, q_2, g_2\right).$$

Clearly we have constructed a family of stable rational trees through o_H. If the original trees were tame, then so is the family. ☐

Lemma 13. *The drop group is closed under taking inverses, as is the restricted drop group.*

Proof. Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. Let H' be the drop group of e_0. Again we can assume that all rational trees are stable. Take a stable tame rational tree $f : T \to G/H$, say with root at $p_0 \in T$. Let $Y = f^*G$, and let $X = T \times f^*G$, with the obvious map $X \to Y$. Map $h : X \to G/H$ by $h\left(p, q, g\right) = g^{-1} f(p)$. Then $h\left(p, p_0, 1\right) = f(p)$, so h deforms f. Also if some point $g_1 o_H$ lies in the image of f, say $g_1 o_H = f\left(p_1\right)$, then $p \mapsto h\left(p, p_1, g_1\right)$ is a tree rooted at p_1 with $h\left(p_1, p_1, g_1\right) = o_H$ and $h\left(p_0, p_1, g_1\right) = g_1^{-1} o_H$. Therefore if g_1 lies in the identity component of G, then this family deforms f into a curve through $g_1^{-1} o_H$, so $g_1^{-1} \in H'$. ☐

Summing up: suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Let $H^0 \subset H$ be the identity component. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Pick a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. Let H'_0 be the restricted drop group of e_0 and let H' be the drop group of e_0. Then $H^0 \subset H'_0 \subset H' \subset G$ are subgroups, and $H^0 \subset H \subset H' \subset G$ are subgroups.

11. The structure group and the blade

Corollary 15. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. Let $\widetilde{R}$ be the universal covering space of the blade $R\left(m_0\right)$. Let H'_0 be the restricted drop group of e_0. Then H'_0 is a Lie subgroup of G. The image of the developing map $\widetilde{R} \to G/H$ is the homogeneous space $H'_0 / \left(H'_0 \cap H\right)$.*

Proof. Every path connected subgroup of a Lie group is a Lie subgroup [33, p. 39]. So $H'_0 \subset G$ is a Lie subgroup. The subgroup H'_0 acts transitively on the set of points in G/H which lie on the developments through e_0 of tame rational trees through m_0, by definition. By Lemma 11 on page 25, the identity component of H lies in H'_0.

By Corollary 10 on page 23, if we take any point $m \in R(m_0)$, we can find a tame rational tree through m_0 and m. We can then lift this tame rational tree to a tame rational tree in $\widetilde{R}$ or develop it to a rational tree through o_H. So $\widetilde{R}$ develops into $H'_0 o_H = H'_0 / (H'_0 \cap H)$. By definition of H'_0, the set $H' o_H$ consists precisely in the points lying on developments of tame rational trees, so precisely in the image of the developing map $\widetilde{R} \to G/H$. □

Lemma 14. *Every rational homogeneous variety is simply connected.*

Proof. Rational homogeneous varieties are rationally connected [53], so simply connected [13, p. 543]. □

Lemma 15. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. Take the universal covering space $\widetilde{R}$ of the blade $R(m_0)$. The developing map $\widetilde{R} \to H'_0/(H'_0 \cap H)$ is a finite unramified covering map.*

Proof. The developing map $\widetilde{R} \to H'_0 o_H$ of the universal covering space of any blade is surjective by Corollary 15 on the previous page. The developing map is locally injective as a map to G/H because the curvature vanishes. The developing map is a local isomorphism, being a surjective and locally injective morphism of analytic varieties to a smooth analytic variety. By Lemma 10 on page 25, $\widetilde{R}$ is compact. Therefore the developing map $\widetilde{R} \to H'_0 o_H$ is a covering map. □

Corollary 16. *Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic Cartan geometry. Then every blade of M is a smooth compact complex submanifold of M.*

We need a slight variation on the Borel–Remmert theorem.

Proposition 4. *If X is a rationally connected compact homogeneous complex manifold, then X is a complete rational homogeneous variety $X = G/P$.*

Proof. Following Akhiezer,[2, p. 216], every compact homogeneous complex manifold has the form $X = G/U$, a holomorphic torus bundle over some G/P. By Campana's theorem [13, p. 543], because X is rationally connected, X is simply connected. We can assume (see Wang [70, pp. 3–4]) that G is a complex semisimple Lie group. Campana [13, p. 545 Proposition 3.4] proves that $H^r(X, \mathbb{O}) = 0$ for $r > 0$, and $H^r(G/P, \mathbb{O}) = 0$ for $r > 0$. The torus fiber, say T, has $H^r(T, \mathbb{O}) > 0$ for r up to the dimension of T. Computing out the exact sequence from X being a torus bundle we find that T must have dimension 0. So $X = G/P$ is a complete rational homogeneous variety. □

Lemma 16. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. Let H'_0 be the drop group of e_0. Then H'_0 is a* *complex Lie group, $H'_0 \cap H$ is a parabolic subgroup of H'_0 and so $H'_0/\left(H'_0 \cap H\right)$ is a rational homogeneous variety.*

Proof. By Lemma 15 on the preceding page, the development

$$\widetilde{R} \to H'_0 o_H = H'_0/\left(H'_0 \cap H\right)$$

is a local biholomorphism from a compact, simply connected, rationally connected Kähler manifold, so $H'_0 o_H$ must be a compact rationally connected homogeneous complex manifold. By proposition 4 on the facing page, $H'_0/\left(H'_0 \cap H\right)$ is a rational homogeneous variety. The group H'_0 is just the identity component in the subgroup of G preserving this rational homogeneous variety, and so is complex analytic. By the Borel–Remmert theorem ([2, p. 216]), $H'_0 \cap H \subset H'_0$ is parabolic. □

Lemma 17. *Suppose that X is a complex manifold with a holomorphic unramified covering $\pi : G/P \to X$ by a rational homogeneous variety G/P. Then π is a biholomorphism.*

Proof. We can assume that G is the biholomorphism group of G/P without loss of generality. Let $\Gamma = \pi_1(X)$; the group Γ acts as holomorphic deck transformations, so $\Gamma \subset G$. But every element of G must act on G/P with a fixed point [69]. Therefore $\Gamma = \{1\}$. □

Corollary 17. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Every blade of M is simply connected. Every blade develops by biholomorphism to a rational homogeneous variety.*

Proof. By Lemma 15 on the preceding page, the developing map $\widetilde{R} \to H'_0 o_H$ is a finite unramified covering map. By Lemma 16 on the facing page, $H'_0 o_H$ is a rational homogeneous variety. By Lemma 14 on the preceding page, the developing map $\widetilde{R} \to H'_0 o_H$ is an biholomorphism. By Lemma 17, $\widetilde{R} \to R$ is a biholomorphism. □

12. The new structure group as a complex subgroup

Lemma 18. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. The drop group $H' = H'\left(e_0\right)$ of the frame is just the set of elements $g \in G$ so that g preserves $H'_0 o_H$. In particular, $H' \subset G$ is a closed Lie subgroup.*

Proof. If g preserves $H'_0 o_H$ then $g o_H$ lies on a rational tree through o_H, by definition of H'_0, so $g \in H'$.

If $g \in H'$, then $g o_H$ lies on a stable tame rational tree $f_1 : T \to G/H$ through o_H which is the development of a stable tame rational tree from M, i.e., $g \in f_1^* G$. But $f_1(T) \subset H'_0 o_H$ by definition of H'_0. So g lies in the preimage in G of the space $H' o_H$. So g lies in the subgroup of G preserving $H' o_H$. □

Lemma 19. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Fix a point $m_0 \in M$ and a frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. The restricted drop group $H'_0 = H'_0\left(e_0\right)$ of the frame is the identity component of the drop group $H' = H'\left(e_0\right)$. The drop group is a complex subgroup of G.*

Proof. The identity component H'_{id} of the drop group preserves $H'_0 o_H$. Therefore $H'_{\mathrm{id}} \subset H'_0$ by definition of H'_0. The restricted drop group is a path connected subgroup of the drop group by definition, so $H'_0 \subset H'_{\mathrm{id}}$. Therefore $H'_{\mathrm{id}} = H'_0$. Since $H'_0 \subset G$ is a complex Lie subgroup, $H' \subset G$ is a complex Lie subgroup. □

Lemma 20. *Suppose that $E \to M$ is a holomorphic Cartan geometry on a compact complex manifold. Then the drop group of a frame is constant as we vary the frame through any connected component of E, as is the restricted drop group.*

Proof. Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry $E \to M$. For each point $m_0 \in M$ and frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$, let $H'_0(e_0)$ be the restricted drop group of e_0. By freedom of rational trees, as we vary $e_0 = e_0(t)$, and correspondingly vary $m_0 = m_0(t)$, we can deform any tame rational tree

$$f^t : T^t \to M$$

so that a marked point on it passes through $m_0(t)$, and develop f^t via the frame $e_0(t)$ to a family of tame rational trees through o_H. These trees through o_H form a family in o_H. One member of that family is a development via $e_0(0)$, and so by Proposition 3 on page 23 the entire family is a development of a family $g^t : T^t \to M$ via $e_0(0)$. Therefore the entire family lies inside the homogeneous space $H'_0(e_0(0))\, o_H$. Therefore $H'_0(e_0(t)) \subset H'_0(e_0(0))$ for any t in our family. Since the choice of t and 0 are arbitrary, $H'_0(e_0(t))$ is constant. □

Lemma 21. *Suppose that $E \to M$ is a holomorphic Cartan geometry on a connected compact complex manifold. The drop group is independent of the choice of frame.*

Proof. Pick a tame rational tree $f_0 : T \to M$ and a frame e_0 and an element $h \in H$. Suppose that $f_1 : T \to G/H$ is the development of f_0 via the frame e_0. Then by left invariance on G the development of f_0 via the frame $e_0 h$ is $h^{-1} f_1$. Therefore

$$H'(e_0 h) = h^{-1} H'(e_0) \, .$$

But $H \subset H'(e_0)$, so $H'(e_0 h) = H'(e_0)$. Therefore on any fiber of E, the drop group is constant. But it is also constant as we move through a connected component of E. Since M is connected, the drop group is constant. □

13. QUOTIENTING BY THE NEW STRUCTURE GROUP

Proposition 5. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a connnected compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry $E \to M$ with Cartan connection ω. Suppose that M contains a tame rational curve. Let H' be the drop group of the Cartan geometry. There is a unique holomorphic right H'-action on E so that*

1. *this action extends the right H-action, and*
2. *for any choice of point $m_0 \in M$ and $e_0 \in E$, the development of the blade $R(m_0) \to M$ via the frame e_0 to a map $R(m_0) \to G/H$ has associated bundle isomorphism $F : E|_{R(m_0)} \to G|_{H' o_H}$ which is H'-equivariant.*

Proof. Clearly by definition of H' we see $G|_{H' o_H} = H'$, so if there is to be such an H'-action, it is clearly unique. Each choice of point m_0 and frame e_0 gives an isomorphism $F : E|_{R(m_0)} \to G|_{H' o_H}$ by development, so defines a holomorphic right

H'-action on $E|_{R(m_0)}$ extending the H-action. The H'-action on $E|_{R(m_0)}$ clearly varies holomorphically with choice of frame e_0 and of point m_0 by the continuity of ordinary differential equations. We only have to prove that this action depends only on the choice of blade, not the choice of point m_0 and frame e_0.

Suppose we pick two points m_0 and m_1 in the same blade, and two frames $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$ and $e_1 \in E_{m_1}$. Since m_0 and m_1 lie in the same blade, under development via e_0, e_0 is taken to $1 \in H'$, while e_1 is taken to some point of H', say g. By left invariance, development by e_1 is just development by e_0 followed by left translation by g^{-1}. Since right action on H' commutes with left action, the right action of H' on E computed via either development is the same. □

It is not immediately clear whether or not the action of H' turns $E \to E/H'$ into a principal H'-bundle; nonetheless we can see how the Cartan connection behaves.

Lemma 22. *Under the hypotheses of the previous proposition, conditions (1), (2) and (3) of the definition of a Cartan connection (definition 2 on page 2) are satisfied (with $H, \mathfrak{h}$ replaced by $H', \mathfrak{h}'$).*

Proof. Condition (2): the Cartan connection is a linear isomorphism on each tangent space. This is clear because the Cartan connection is unchanged from the original Cartan geometry $E \to M$. Condition (3): the H'-action is generated by the vector fields $\vec{A}$ for $A \in \mathfrak{h}'$. This is true in the model, i.e., on G, and therefore on E because the H'-action is identified with the H'-action on $H' \subset G$. We only have to check condition (1): that the Cartan connection transforms in the adjoint representation.

The group H' is generated by H'_0 and H. Under H-action, the Cartan connection transforms in the adjoint representation. So it suffices to check if under H'_0-action the Cartan connection transforms in the adjoint representation. By definition H'_0 is connected. Therefore it suffices to check whether under the Lie algebra action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}'_0$ of H'_0, the Cartan connection transforms under the adjoint representation. In other words, for every vector $A \in \mathfrak{h}'_0$, we need to check that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{A}}\omega = -[A, \omega].$$

By the Cartan family equation

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{A}}\omega = \vec{A} \lrcorner d\omega + d\left(\vec{A} \lrcorner \omega\right),$$

and using the equation

$$\nabla\omega = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega],$$

we see that it suffices to check whether

$$\vec{A} \lrcorner \left(\nabla\omega - \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]\right) = -[A, \omega]$$

i.e., whether

$$\vec{A} \lrcorner \nabla\omega = 0,$$

which we know already from corollary 11 on page 24. □

Lemma 23. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space and that $E \to M$ is a smooth real Cartan geometry modelled on G/H. So the curvature of $E \to M$ is a section of $\operatorname{Ad}(E) \otimes \Lambda^2(T^*M)$. Then $E \to M$ is a holomorphic Cartan geometry,* *for a unique complex structure on M, if and only if the curvature is a complex linear 2-form valued in the complex vector bundle* $\mathrm{Ad}(E)$.

Proof. Let $\pi : E \to M$ be the bundle map. At each point $e \in E$, the Cartan connection ω gives a real linear isomorphism $\omega_e : T_eE \to \mathfrak{g}$. This isomorphism makes E into an almost complex manifold, using the complex linear structure on $\mathfrak{g}$. This almost complex structure is integrable just exactly when $d\omega$ is a multiple of ω, equivalenty, no $\overline{\omega} \wedge \overline{\omega}$ terms in $d\omega$, equivalenty, when the curvature has no $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda^{0,2}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ terms. Should this occur, the 1-form ω is then holomorphic just when $d\omega$ has no $\omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$ terms, that is the curvature is complex linear, in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda^{2,0}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$.

Clearly the 1-form $\omega + \mathfrak{h}$ given by $\omega_e + \mathfrak{h} : T_eE \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ is semibasic for π. Let Ω_e be the linear map $\Omega_e : T_mM \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ so that

$$(\pi'(e)v) \lrcorner \omega_e = \Omega_e(v)$$

for all $v \in T_eE$, where $m = \pi(e)$. Clearly Ω_e is a linear isomorphism for each $e \in E$. Use Ω_e to define a complex structure on T_mM. We know that $r_g^* \omega = \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\omega$ for all $g \in H$. Therefore Ω_e transforms by a complex linear isomorphism if we move e while fixing the underlying point $m = p(e)$. Therefore M has a unique almost complex structure for which $\pi : E \to M$ is holomorphic. But π is a submersion, so the almost complex structure is a complex structure. The morphism $E \to M$ is therefore a holomorphic principal bundle. ☐

Proposition 6. *Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a connected compact complex manifold with a holomorphic G/H-geometry $E \to M$. Suppose that M contains a tame rational curve. Pick a point $m_0 \in M$ and frame $e_0 \in E_{m_0}$. Let H' be the drop group of the Cartan geometry. The G/H-geometry on M drops to a G/H'-geometry on a Kähler manifold M' so that $M \to M'$ is a holomorphic fiber bundle, with fibers biholomorphic to H'/H.*

Proof. By development, we identify each H'-orbit with H', and therefore H' acts freely on E.

Consider an H'-orbit $H'e_0 \subset E$. By development of a blade $R(m_0) \subset M$, we see that the blade is identified with $H'o_H \subset G/H$ and the H'-orbit $H'e_0$ identified with H'. Since H' contains H^0, H' is a union of path components in the preimage in G of $H'o_H \subset G/H$. Therefore the orbit $H'e_0$ is a union of path components of the preimage in E of $R(m_0) \subset M$. Therefore $H'e_0$ is closed in E. Therefore each H'-orbit in E is a closed set and a submanifold biholomorphic to H'. At this step of our proof, we need a small lemma:

Lemma 24. *Let*

$$R = \{(e, eg) \mid e \in E \text{ and } g \in H'\} \subset E \times E.$$

Then R is a closed subset in $E \times E$.

Proof. Take a convergent sequence of points $e_n \to e \in E$ and a convergent sequence of points $e_n g_n \to e' \in E$ with g_n a sequence in H'. We want to prove that $e' = eg$ for some $g \in H'$. Write the map $E \to M$ as $\pi : E \to M$. Let $m_n = \pi(e_n), m = \pi(e), m' = \pi(e')$.

By definition of H', we can find a sequence of stable tame rational trees $f_n : T_n \to M$, with f_n passing through m_n, so that there is a path in $f_n^* E$ from e_n to $e_n g_n$.

By Proposition 2 on page 23, there is a compact connected component of the orbifamily of stable rational trees through $o_H \in G/H$, so that this family maps surjectively to the blade $H' o_H \subset G/H$. By development, the blades of M are all identified with $H' o_H$, so we can assume that all of the maps f_n come from developments of this family via the various frames e_n. By compactness of the orbivariety, a subsequence of these f_n converges in Gromov–Hausdorff sense to a limiting stable rational tree $f : T \to M$ with a path from e to e'. The development of f via e must lie in $H' o_H$, so we see that $e' = eg$ for some $g \in H'$. □

Returning to the proof of the theorem, clearly R is an immersed submanifold of $E \times E$, since the map $(e, g) \mapsto (e, eg)$ is a local biholomorphism to R. Moreover, this map is 1-1, since H' acts freely. Therefore $R \subset E \times E$ is a closed set and a submanifold. Therefore E/H' admits a unique smooth structure as a smooth manifold for which $E \to E/H'$ is a smooth submersion (see Abraham and Marsden [1, p. 262]).

Let $M' = E/H'$. Because $E \to M'$ is a smooth submersion, we can construct a local smooth section near any point of M', say $s : U \to E$, for some open set $U \subset M'$. Let $\rho : E \to M'$ be the quotient map by the H'-action. Define

$$\phi : U \times H' \to \rho^{-1} U,$$
$$\phi(u, g) = s(u) g.$$

This map ϕ is a local diffeomorphism, because ϕ is H'-equivariant and $\rho \circ \phi(u, g) = u$ is a submersion. Moreover, ϕ is 1-1 because H' acts freely. Every element of $\rho^{-1} U$ lies in the image of our section s modulo H'-action, so clearly ϕ is onto. Therefore $E \to M'$ is locally trivial, so a principal H'-bundle. The Cartan connection of $E \to M$ clearly is a Cartan connection for $E \to M'$. By Lemma 23 on page 31, this Cartan geometry is holomorphic. □

14. TAME RATIONAL CURVES AND FIBER BUNDLES

Proposition 7. *Suppose that M and M' are two compact complex manifolds. Suppose that $M \to M'$ is a holomorphic fiber bundle, with complete rational homogeneous fibers. Suppose that M is rationally tame. Then M' is rationally tame.*

Proof. Pick a rational curve $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to M'$. Suppose that the fibers of $M \to M'$ are isomorphic to G/P, for G a connected complex semisimple Lie group and $P \subset G$ a parabolic subgroup. Consider the pullback bundle $E_{G/P} = f^* M \to \mathbb{P}^1$, a holomorphic fiber bundle of rational homogeneous varieties. Let $E_G \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the associated principal bundle. The group G is the connected component of $\operatorname{Bihol}(G/P)$; see Knapp [48]. Because $\mathbb{P}^1$ is simply connected, this bundle is a principal G-bundle, not merely a $\operatorname{Bihol}(G/P)$-bundle. By Grothendieck's classification of holomorphic principal bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with reductive structure group [35], E_G can be constructed as follows: let $H \subset G$ be a Cartan subgroup, and $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^\times \to H$ a complex Lie group homomorphism. Then

$$E_G = \left(\mathbb{C}^2 - 0\right) \times_{\mathbb{C}^\times} G,$$

the quotient of $\left(\mathbb{C}^2 - 0\right) \times G$ by the action

$$\lambda(z, g) = \left(\lambda z, \alpha(\lambda)^{-1} g\right).$$

The associated G/P-bundle $E_{G/P}$ is the quotient

$$E_{G/P} = \left(\mathbb{C}^2 - 0\right) \times_{\mathbb{C}^\times} (G/P),$$

i.e., the quotient by the action

$$\lambda(z, gP) = \left(\lambda z, \alpha(\lambda)^{-1} gP\right).$$

Therefore $E_{G/P}$ has as global section: the quotient of the pairs (z, P), since $H \subset P$.

So there is a rational curve in M mapping to the rational curve in M'. Compactness of the cycle space of M therefore implies that of M'. □

15. Proof of the main theorem

We now prove Theorem 3 on page 4.

Proof. By Proposition 6 on page 32, if there is a rational curve in M, then we can drop, say to some geometry $E \to M'$. Since every rational curve lies in a blade in M, all rational curves in M lie in the fibers of $M \to M'$. By proposition 7 on the preceding page, M' is rationally tame. If M' contains a rational curve, we can repeat this process, dropping to some geometry $E \to M''$, etc. At each step, we reduce dimension by at least one, i.e., $\dim M' \le \dim M - 1$, since the connected components of the fibers of $M \to M'$ are rational homogeneous varieties containing rational curves. Therefore after finitely many steps, we must arrive at a drop which contains no rational curves, say $M \to \overline{M}$, say a drop to a $G/\overline{H}$-geometry.

At each stage in the process, the connected components of the fibers of $M \to M'$, and of $M' \to M''$, etc. are rational homogeneous varieties, and in particular are rationally connected. So the connected components of the fibers of $M \to \overline{M}$ are rationally connected and compact. These fibers are homogeneous spaces, being copies of $\overline{H}/H$. They are rationally tame, being complex submanifolds of M. Therefore the fibers are rational homogeneous varieties by proposition 4 on page 28. But then the fibers of $M \to \overline{M}$ must lie inside the blades of M, and therefore lie on rational curves in M, so $\overline{M} = M'$, i.e., we need precisely one step in this process to acheive the result that M' contains no rational curves.

Suppose that $M \to M''$ is some other drop, and that M'' contains no rational curves. The fibers of $M \to M'$ are rational homogeneous varieties, so rationally connected [53]. Each fiber of $M \to M'$ must lie inside a fiber of $M \to M''$, since otherwise a rational curve in a fiber of $M \to M'$ would project to a rational curve in M''. Therefore the map $M \to M''$ factors as a map $M \to M' \to M''$. Looking upstairs at E, we have $M = E/H, M' = E/H', M'' = E/H''$, so it is clear that H'' must contain H', i.e., the drop $M \to M''$ factors as drops $M \to M' \to M''$. □

16. Open problems

Dumitrescu classified the holomorphic Cartan geometries admitted by smooth compact complex curves; see Dumitrescu [22].

Problem 16.1. The classification of compact complex surfaces admitting holomorphic Cartan geometries is open. One would also like to classify the holomorphic Cartan geometries they admit. See Kobayashi and Ochiai [49, 50, 51, 52] and Klingler [47] for the classification of “normal” holomorphic G/H-geometries on compact complex surfaces when G/H is a rational homogeneous variety and G is a semisimple complex Lie group.

Problem 16.2. The classification of compact complex 3-folds admitting cominiscule geometries is still open, even among the smooth projective 3-folds.

Example 6. Jahnke and Radloff classified the smooth projective varieties admitting flat holomorphic projective connections [45] modulo the abundance conjecture.

Conjecture 1. The compact Kähler manifolds admitting holomorphic projective connections are (1) $\mathbb{P}^n$, (2) those admitting a finite covering by complex tori, (3) ball quotients and (4) the exotic examples of Jahnke and Radloff [45]: holomorphic torus fibrations over a compact Shimura curve.

Problem 16.3. There is no conjectured classification of the holomorphic projective connections on these exotic examples of Jahnke and Radloff.

Conjecture 2. Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Suppose that M is a compact Kähler manifold admitting a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Then there is a flat holomorphic G/H-geometry on M, i.e., a holomorphic (G, X)-structure, where $X = G/H$.

Conjecture 3. Suppose that G/H is a complex homogeneous space which is not biholomorphic to a product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times (G_0/H_0)$. Suppose that M is a compact Kähler manifold admitting a holomorphic G/H-geometry. Then there is a closed real Lie subgroup $G' \subset G$, with an open orbit $U' \subset G/H$, and there is a cocompact lattice $\Gamma' \subset G'$, so that $M = \Gamma \backslash U'$.

In particular, the image of the developing map on the universal covering space of M should be a biholomorphism to some homogeneous open set $U' \subset G/H$. On the other hand if $G/H = \mathbb{P}^1$ [30] then it is known that the developing map can be more complicated.

Remark 10. Andrei Mustaţă pointed out that our Theorem 3 on page 4 is reminiscent of a speculation of Pandharipande [61, p. 1]. Pandharipande's speculation is that rationally connected varieties all of whose rational curves are free might be rational homogeneous varieties.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful for the advice of Sergei Ivashkovich.

References

1. Ralph Abraham and Jerrold E. Marsden, *Foundations of mechanics*, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. Inc. Advanced Book Program, Reading, Mass., 1978, Second edition, revised and enlarged, With the assistance of Tudor Raţiu and Richard Cushman. MR 81e:58025
2. D. N. Akhiezer, *Homogeneous complex manifolds*, Current problems in mathematics. Fundamental directions, Vol. 10 (Russian), Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1986, pp. 223–275, 283. MR MR894265 (88i:32047)
3. Carolina Araujo and János Kollár, *Rational curves on varieties*, Higher dimensional varieties and rational points (Budapest, 2001), Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., vol. 12, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 13–68. MR MR2011743 (2004k:14049)
4. Uri Bader, Charles Frances, and Karin Melnick, *An embedding theorem for automorphism groups of Cartan geometries*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **19** (2009), no. 2, 333–355. MR 2545240 (2011c:53104)
5. Daniel Barlet, *How to use the cycle space in complex geometry*, Several complex variables (Berkeley, CA, 1995–1996), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 37, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999, pp. 25–42. MR MR1748599 (2001b:32020)
6. Robert J. Baston, *Almost Hermitian symmetric manifolds. I. Local twistor theory*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 1, 81–112. MR MR1106939 (93d:53064)
7. ———, *Almost Hermitian symmetric manifolds. II. Differential invariants*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 1, 113–138. MR MR1106940 (93d:53065)

8. Robert J. Baston and Michael G. Eastwood, *The Penrose transform*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1989, Its interaction with representation theory, Oxford Science Publications. MR MR1038279 (92j:32112)
9. Florin Alexandru Belgun, *Null-geodesics in complex conformal manifolds and the LeBrun correspondence*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **536** (2001), 43–63. MR MR1837426 (2002g:53080)
10. Frédéric Bien, Armand Borel, and János Kollár, *Rationally connected homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), no. 1-3, 103–127. MR MR1369412 (97c:14049)
11. Robert L. Bryant, Shiing-Shen Chern, R. B. Gardner, H. L. Goldschmidt, and P. A. Griffiths, *Exterior differential systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. MR 92h:58007
12. F. Campana, *Algébricité et compacité dans l'espace des cycles d'un espace analytique complexe*, Math. Ann. **251** (1980), no. 1, 7–18. MR MR583821 (82a:32026)
13. ———, *On twistor spaces of the class $\mathcal{C}$*, J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), no. 2, 541–549. MR MR1094468 (92g:32059)
14. Frédéric Campana, *Algébricité et compacité dans les espaces de cycles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **289** (1979), no. 3, A195–A197. MR MR552210 (81c:32045)
15. Andreas Čap, *Correspondence spaces and twistor spaces for parabolic geometries*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **582** (2005), 143–172. MR MR2139714 (2006h:32017)
16. ———, *Two constructions with parabolic geometries*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. (2006), no. 79, 11–37. MR MR2287124
17. Andreas Čap and Hermann Schichl, *Parabolic geometries and canonical Cartan connections*, Hokkaido Math. J. **29** (2000), no. 3, 453–505. MR MR1795487 (2002f:53036)
18. Élie Cartan, *Les systèmes de Pfaff à cinq variables et les équations aux dérivées partielle du second ordre*, Ann. Éc. Norm. **27** (1910), 109–192, Also in [19], pp. 927–1010.
19. ———, *Œuvres complètes. Partie II*, second ed., Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Paris, 1984, Algèbre, systèmes différentiels et problèmes d'équivalence. [Algebra, differential systems and problems of equivalence]. MR 85g:01032b
20. Jean-Pierre Demailly, *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/ demailly/books.html, 2007.
21. Boris Doubrov, *Generalized Wilczynski invariants for non-linear ordinary differential equations*, Symmetries and overdetermined systems of partial differential equations, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., vol. 144, Springer, New York, 2008, pp. 25–40. MR MR2384704 (2008m:34087)
22. Sorin Dumitrescu, *Structures géométriques sur les courbes et les surfaces complexes*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **10** (2001), no. 3, 507–531. MR MR1923688 (2003h:32028)
23. Maciej Dunajski and Paul Tod, *Paraconformal geometry of nth-order ODEs, and exotic holonomy in dimension four*, J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006), no. 9, 1790–1809. MR MR2240424 (2007m:53055)
24. Mark E. Fels, *Some applications of Cartan's method of equivalence to the geometric study of ordinary and partial differential equations*, Ph.D. thesis, McGill University, Montreal, 1993, pp. vii+104.
25. ———, *The equivalence problem for systems of second-order ordinary differential equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **71** (1995), no. 1, 221–240. MR MR1327940 (96d:58157)
26. Charles Frances, *A Ferrand-Obata theorem for rank one parabolic geometries*, arXiv:math/0608537v1, August 2006.
27. Akira Fujiki, *Closedness of the Douady spaces of compact Kähler spaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **14** (1978/79), no. 1, 1–52. MR MR0486648 (58 #6361)
28. ———, *On the Douady space of a compact complex space in the category $\mathcal{C}$*, Nagoya Math. J. **85** (1982), 189–211. MR MR648422 (84g:32034)
29. ———, *On the Douady space of a compact complex space in the category $\mathcal{C}$. II*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **20** (1984), no. 3, 461–489. MR MR759679 (86j:32048)
30. Daniel Gallo, Michael Kapovich, and Albert Marden, *The monodromy groups of Schwarzian equations on closed Riemann surfaces*, Annals of Math. **151** (2000), 625–704, arXiv:math.CV/9511213v2.
31. Michał Godliński and Paweł Nurowski, $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ *geometry of ODE's*, J. Geom. Phys. **60** (2010), no. 6-8, 991–1027. MR 2647299 (2011e:53027)
32. William Goldman, *Locally homogeneous geometric manifolds*, arXiv:1003.2759, March 2010.
33. V. V. Gorbatsevich, A. L. Onishchik, and E. B. Vinberg, *Foundations of Lie theory and Lie transformation groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, Translated from the Russian by A.

Kozlowski, Reprint of the 1993 translation [ıt Lie groups and Lie algebras. I, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 20, Springer, Berlin, 1993; MR1306737 (95f:22001)]. MR MR1631937 (99c:22009)

34. M. Gromov, *Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, Invent. Math. **82** (1985), no. 2, 307–347. MR MR809718 (87j:53053)
35. A. Grothendieck, *Sur la classification des fibrés holomorphes sur la sphère de Riemann*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 121–138. MR MR0087176 (19,315b)
36. R. C. Gunning, *On uniformization of complex manifolds: the role of connections*, Mathematical Notes, vol. 22, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1978. MR 82e:32034
37. Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR MR0463157 (57 #3116)
38. Eiji Horikawa, *On deformations of holomorphic maps. I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **25** (1973), 372–396. MR MR0322209 (48 #571)
39. ———, *On deformations of holomorphic maps. II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **26** (1974), 647–667. MR MR0352540 (50 #5027)
40. A. T. Huckleberry and E. L. Livorni, *A classification of homogeneous surfaces*, Canad. J. Math. **33** (1981), no. 5, 1097–1110. MR MR638369 (84h:32042)
41. Jun-Muk Hwang, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell, *Holomorphic maps onto varieties of non-negative Kodaira dimension*, J. Algebraic Geom. **15** (2006), no. 3, 551–561. MR MR2219848 (2007c:14008)
42. Jun-Muk Hwang and Ngaiming Mok, *Uniruled projective manifolds with irreducible reductive G-structures*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **490** (1997), 55–64. MR MR1468924 (99h:32034)
43. S. Ivashkovich, *Extension properties of meromorphic mappings with values in non-Kähler complex manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 3, 795–837. MR MR2144969 (2006g:32021)
44. Priska Jahnke and Ivo Radloff, *Threefolds with holomorphic normal projective connections*, Math. Ann. **329** (2004), no. 3, 379–400, math.AG/0210117.
45. ———, *Holomorphic normal projective connections on projective manifolds*, math.AG arXiv:0903.4571, March 2009.
46. Ludger Kaup and Burchard Kaup, *Holomorphic functions of several variables*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 3, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1983, An introduction to the fundamental theory, With the assistance of Gottfried Barthel, Translated from the German by Michael Bridgland. MR MR716497 (85k:32001)
47. Bruno Klingler, *Un théorème de rigidité non-métrique pour les variétés localement symétriques hermitiennes*, Comment. Math. Helv. **76** (2001), no. 2, 200–217. MR MR1839345 (2002k:53076)
48. Anthony W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002. MR MR1920389 (2003c:22001)
49. Shôshichi Kobayashi and Takushiro Ochiai, *Holomorphic projective structures on compact complex surfaces*, Math. Ann. **249** (1980), no. 1, 75–94. MR 81g:32021
50. Shoshichi Kobayashi and Takushiro Ochiai, *Holomorphic projective structures on compact complex surfaces. II*, Math. Ann. **255** (1981), no. 4, 519–521. MR MR618182 (83a:32025)
51. ———, *Holomorphic structures modeled after compact Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Manifolds and Lie groups (Notre Dame, Ind., 1980), Progr. Math., vol. 14, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1981, pp. 207–222. MR MR642859 (84i:53051)
52. ———, *Holomorphic structures modeled after hyperquadrics*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **34** (1982), no. 4, 587–629. MR MR685426 (84b:32039)
53. János Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. MR MR1440180 (98c:14001)
54. János Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefumi Mori, *Rationally connected varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), no. 3, 429–448. MR MR1158625 (93i:14014)
55. M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin, *Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **164** (1994), no. 3, 525–562. MR MR1291244 (95i:14049)
56. René Lagrange, *Sur le groupe de la famille des coniques du plan qui ont un élément de contact donné*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **244** (1957), 1886–1868. MR MR0084146 (18,817a)

57. ———, *Sur le groupe ponctuel conservant la famille des coniques du plan qui ont un élément de contact donné*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **74** (1957), 197–229. MR MR0102042 (21 #837)
58. J. M. Landsberg, *Secant varieties, shadows and the universal Lie algebra: Perspectives on the geometry of rational homogeneousvarieties*, From 2004 lectures at Harvard University, to appear, 2005.
59. Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 52, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. MR MR2045629 (2004m:53154)
60. Benjamin McKay, *Morphisms of Cartan connections*, 2008, arXiv.org:0802.1473.
61. R. Pandharipande, *Convex rationally connected varieties*, unpublished, January 2004.
62. Maxwell Rosenlicht, *Some basic theorems on algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 401–443. MR MR0082183 (18,514a)
63. Richard W. Sharpe, *Differential geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 166, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997, Cartan's generalization of Klein's Erlangen program, With a foreword by S. S. Chern. MR 98m:53033
64. ———, *An introduction to Cartan geometries*, Proceedings of the 21st Winter School "Geometry and Physics" (Srní, 2001), no. 69, 2002, pp. 61–75. MR 2004f:53023
65. Bernd Siebert, *Gromov–Witten invariants for general symplectic manifolds*, arXiv:dg-ga/9608005, 1998.
66. ———, *Algebraic and symplectic Gromov-Witten invariants coincide*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49** (1999), no. 6, 1743–1795. MR MR1738065 (2001f:14097)
67. Manfred Steinsiek, *Über homogen-rationale Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Schriftenreihe des Mathematischen Instituts der Universität Münster, Ser. 2 [Series of the Mathematical Institute of the University of Münster, Ser. 2], vol. 23, Universität Münster Mathematisches Institut, Münster, 1982. MR MR673379 (83k:32045b)
68. Noboru Tanaka, *On the equivalence problems associated with simple graded Lie algebras*, Hokkaido Math. J. **8** (1979), no. 1, 23–84. MR MR533089 (80h:53034)
69. Jacques Tits, *Les groupes de Lie exceptionnels et leur interprétation géométrique*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. **8** (1956), 48–81. MR MR0087889 (19,430d)
70. Hsien-Chung Wang, *Closed manifolds with homogeneous complex structure*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 1–32. MR 16,518a

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI, INDIA

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE CORK, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND, CORK, IRELAND